Exhibit 7

IN THE COURT OF CHANCERY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

DALIA GENGER, as Trustee of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust,)	
Plaintiff,)	
٧.)	Civil Action No.
TR INVESTORS, LLC, GLENCLOVA	(CIVII ACIONI (VO.
INVESTMENT CO., NEW TR EQUITY I,)	
LLC, NEW TR EQUITY II, LLC, TRANS-)	
RESOURCES, INC. and TPR)	
INVESTMENT ASSOCIATES, INC.,)	
70.0)	
Defendants.)	

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Dalia Genger ("Dalia"), as Trustee of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust (the "Orly Trust"), by her undersigned attorneys, for her Verified Complaint against Defendants TR Investors, LLC ("Investors"), Glenclova Investment Co. ("Glenclova"), TR Equity I, LLC ("Equity I"), TR Equity I, LLC ("Equity II" and together with Investors, Glenclova and Equity I, "The Trump Group"), TPR Investment Associates, Inc. ("TPR") and Trans-Resources, Inc. ("Trans-Resources") alleges upon knowledge, information and belief as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. On October 29, 2004, as part of a wider multi-million dollar divorce settlement agreement between Arie Genger ("Arie") and Dalia, Arie caused TPR to transfer 794.40 shares (13.99%) of Trans-Resource's stock to himself, and 1,102.80 shares (19.43%) of Trans-Resources stock to each of the 1993 Sagi and Orly Trusts.

- 2. In reliance upon (i) Arie's representation that no consent or approval was required for TPR to transfer the shares to her children and (ii) the representation that Arie caused TPR to make that the shares being transferred to the Orly Trust were "free and clear of any liens, claims or encumbrances" and that the transfer "does not violate the certificate of Incorporation of TPR or any agreement to which TPR is subject" (emphasis added), Dalia gave up valuable claims in the divorce settlement to ensure that her children's trusts would be well funded. The Orly Trust also relied on those representations in purchasing the Trans-Resources shares.
- 3. On October 30, 2004, Trans-Resource issued a share certificate in the name of the Orly Trust for 1,102.80 shares of Trans-Resources common stock. The share certificate was signed by Arie, as President of Trans-Resources, and Edward Klimerman, Assistant Secretary of Trans-Resources and outside counsel to the company.
- 4. Trans-Resources delivered the Orly Trust's share certificate to Arie, who was contractually obligated to act as the Orly Trust's proxy for voting the Trans-Resources shares. Arie maintained possession of the Orly Trusts' original share certificate and sent a copy of it to both Dalia and the Orly Trust.
- 5. Thus, the Orly Trust is a bona fide and protected purchaser of the Trans-Resources stock because (i) value was given for the Orly Trust's shares of Trans-Resources stock, (ii) the Orly Trust did not have notice of any adverse claim to the shares represented by its share certificate, and (iii) the Orly Trust obtained control of its Trans-Resources share certificate by way of its delivery to Arie, who held the certificate on the Orly Trust's behalf.

6. Consequently, the Orly Trust seeks a declaratory judgment that it is the beneficial owner of the 1,102.80 shares of Trans-Resources stock represented by the share certificate.

PARTIES

- 7. The Plaintiff Dalia Genger ("Dalia") is the mother of Sagi Genger ("Sagi") and Orly Genger ("Orly") and the former wife of Aric Genger ("Arie"). Dalia is the trustee of the 1993 Orly Genger Trust.
- 8. Defendant Trans-Resources, a privately-held Delaware Corporation, is a manufacturer and worldwide distributor of agricultural fertilizer.
- Defendant TPR, a Delaware corporation, is the record holder of the
 1,102.80 shares that the Orly Trust purchased in 2004.
- 10. Defendant Investors, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company, purportedly exercised its rights under the 2008 Side Letter Agreement to purchase from TPR the Trans-Resources common stock that was previously purchased by the Orly Trust.
- 11. Defendant Glenclova, a Cayman Islands company, purportedly exercised its rights under the 2008 Side Letter Agreement to purchase from TPR the Trans-Resources common stock that was previously purchased by the Orly Trust.
- 12. Defendant Equity I, a Delaware limited liability company, purportedly exercised its rights under the 2008 Side Letter Agreement to purchase from TPR the Trans-Resources common stock that was previously purchased by the Orly Trust.
- 13. Defendant Equity II, a Delaware limited liability company, purportedly exercised its rights under the 2008 Side Letter Agreement to purchase from TPR the Trans-Resources common stock that was previously purchased by the Orly Trust.

JURISDICTION

14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 8 Del. C. § 111 and 10 Del. C. § 6501, et. seq.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The 2001 Stockhokiers Agreement

- 15. In 1985, Arie formed Trans-Resources.
- 16. Until 2001, TPR held Arie's 100% stake in Trans-Resources. TPR was owned by Arie, Dalia and their two children, Sagi and Orly. As TPR's majority (51%) shareholder, Arie controlled Trans-Resources.
- 17. Dalia, Sagi and Orly, through the Sagi and Orly Trusts (which were established by Arie in 1993 as part of a Genger family estate plan), held a minority (49%) interest in TPR.
- In 2001, Glenclova and Investors entered into an agreement with Trans-Resources and TPR to convert their bond holdings into an equity interest in Trans-Resources (the "Stockholders Agreement"). Under the Stockholders Agreement,

 Glenclova and Investors acquired 47.15% of Trans-Resources common stock from Arie

 (through TPR), thereby reducing Arie's ownership interest in Trans-Resources to 52.85%.
- 19. The Stockholders Agreement restricted the transfer of Trans-Resources stock to any persons or entities except those who were designated as "Permitted Transferees." If a party to the Stockholders Agreement wished to transfer or sell its shares to a non-Permitted Transferee, the selling party was required to give written notice to the other Trans-Resources shareholders, who would have a right of first refusal. A transfer that failed to comply with those restrictions and the prior notice requirement

would be deemed invalid and void, and would trigger the non-selling shareholders' right to purchase the invalidly-transferred shares.

The Orly Trust Receives Trans-Resources Stock, for Valuable Consideration, Without Notice of Any Adverse Claim

- 20. After years of litigation regarding their divorce, on October 30, 2004, Arie and Dalia executed a stipulation of settlement (the "Divorce Agreement").
- 21. The Divorce Agreement equitably divided Arie and Dalia's marriage assets and provided for certain assets to be transferred to the Sagi and Orly Trusts, assets in which they already had an equitable stake through their minority ownership in TPR.
- Thus, simultaneously with the Divorce Agreement, TPR entered into an agreement (the "Letter Agreement") with the Sagi and Orly trusts to sell, transfer and convey 1,102.80 shares of Trans-Resources stock to each of those entities. See Letter Agreement dated October 29, 2004, attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- Arie, as CEO of Trans-Resources, represented in the Divorce Agreement that "[e]xcept for the Consent of TPR... no consent, approval or similar action of any person is required in connection with the transfer of [Trans-Resources] Stock as contemplated hereby...."
- 24. Moreover, in the Letter Agreement, which transferred the Trans-Resources stock from TPR to the Orly Trust, Arie caused TPR to represent that "the shares are being transferred hereunder free and clear of any liens, claims or encumbrances and such transfer does not violate the certificate of Incorporation of TPR or any agreement to which TPR is subject." Ex. A.
- 25. Dalia relied on those representations in giving up certain claims in the divorce so as to financially benefit her children's trusts and provide for their inheritance.

The Orly Trust also relied on those representations in purchasing the Trans-Resources shares from TPR.

Trans-Resources Delivers a Stock Certificate to the Orly Trust

- 26. On October 30, 2004, Trans-Resources issued a stock certificate to the Only Trust for 1,102.80 shares of its common stock.
- 27. The stock certificate was signed by Arie as President of Trans-Resources, and Edward Klimerman, as Assistant Secretary of Trans-Resources.
- 28. The transfer of the Trans-Resources stock to the Orly Trust in October 2004 was registered in the books and records of Trans-Resources.
- 29. Trans-Resources delivered the Orly Trust's share certificate to Arie, the Orly Trust's proxy for voting the Trans-Resources shares.
- 30. Arie maintained physical possession of the Orly Trust's stock certificate, and forwarded a copy of the certificate to both Dalia and the Orly Trust.

The Trump Group Exercises an Option to Purchase the Orly Trust Shares

- 31. On August 22, 2008, TPR entered into an agreement with the Trump Group to sell the Trump Group an option to purchase the Trans-Resources shares transferred to the Orly Trust in 2004 if a court found that the transfers of the Trans-Resources shares were void (the "Side Letter Agreement").
- 32. The Side Letter Agreement expressly provides that if a court determines "that the Orly Genger 1993 Trust is not the record and beneficial owner of the 1,102.80 shares of Common Stock of the of the Company purportedly transferred to such Trust by TPR in October, 2004," then Trump Group could exercise an option to purchase those shares.

33. In February 2011, the Trump Group exercised its option to purchase the Trans-Resources stock held by the Orly Trust.

The Supreme Court Reverses this Court's Holding Regarding Beneficial Ownership of the Shares Held in the Orly Trust

- 34. On July 18, 2011, the Delaware Supreme Court affirmed, among other things, this Court's finding in its August 9, 2010 Side Letter Opinion (the "Side Letter Opinion") that TPR was the record owner of the shares transferred to Arie pursuant to the 2004 Letter Agreement.
- 35. The Delaware Supreme Court reversed this Court's determinations in the Side Letter Opinion and August 18, 2010 Final Judgment Order to the extent that it adjudicated "the beneficial ownership of the Orly Trust Shares." (Op. at 44)
- 36. This action addresses one of the issues that the Delaware Supreme Court held that this Court did not have jurisdiction to decide: the Orly Trust's beneficial ownership of the Trans-Resources shares.

COUNT I (Declaratory Judgment that the Orly Trust is the Beneficial Owner of 1,102.80 of Trans-Resources Common Stock)

- 37. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above in the preceding paragraphs.
- 38. Based upon representations that Arie made or caused to be made that the restrictions in the Stock Purchase Agreement did not apply or were waived, the Trustee for the Orly Trust, Dalia (the eventual Trustee) and Orly had no knowledge, either actual or constructive, of any current or potential adverse claim against those shares.
- 39. In reliance upon those representations, Dalia provided valuable consideration for the Trans-Resources shares that TPR transferred to the Orly Trust by

relinquishing significant claims in the divorce. In further reliance upon those representations, the Orly Trust purchased the 1,102.80 shares of Trans-Resources stock.

- 40. The share certificate issued to the Orly Trust was signed by authorized officers of Trans-Resources.
- 41. Trans-Resources delivered the Orly Trust's share certificate to Aric, who held possession of it as the Orly Trust's proxy for voting the shares. Arie delivered a copy of the share certificate to the Orly Trust and Dalia.
- 42. Because the Orly Trust acquired the legal rights to the Trans-Resources shares for value, with no knowledge of any adverse claim, it is a "bona fide purchaser" or protected purchaser whose rights to the Trans-Resources shares may not be nullified by the Trump Group.
- 43. The Orly Trust is entitled to a declaratory judgment that it is the beneficial owner of Trans-Resources shares that it purchased from TPR in October 2004.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter an order:

- Declaring that the Orly Trust is the beneficial owner of the 1,102.80
 Trans-Resources shares that it purchased in 2004, for all purposes;
- b) Requiring Trans-Resources to pay to the Orly Trust any dividends that have been issued on the Trans-Resources shares since the Orly Trust acquired them on October 29, 2004.
- c) Granting the Orly Trust such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 4, 2011

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

/s/ Jeremy D. Anderson

Jeremy D. Anderson (No. 4515) The Nemours Building 1007 N. Orange Street P. O. Box 2207 Wilmington, DE 19899

Tel: (302) 658-9141 Fax: (302) 658-5614 Attorneys for Plaintiff

.4493617_1.DOC

EXHIBIT A

TPR INVESTMENT ASSOCIATES, INC. 200 West 57th Street New York, NY 10019

October 24, 2004

bir. Aris Genger 2680 Island Blvd., Pentheuse Occ William Island, Aventum, FL 33160

Sagi Genger 1993 Trust 200 West 57th Street New York, NY 10019

Only Genger 1993 Track 200 West 57th Street New York, NY 10019

Ladics and Ocntlemen:

This fetter will set forth our agreement postures to which you will prombase the 3,000 shares of common stock ("the Shares") of Time-Resources, Inc. ("TRI") owned by TPR breedment Associates, Inc. ("TPR"). TPR beteby sells, transfers and conveys the Shares to you so follows:

- (f) 794.40 Shares to Ario Gosgon;
- (ii) 1,102,80 Shace to the Sagi Genger (593 Treat, and
- (iii) 1,102.80 Shares to the Orly Genger 1993 Trust.

The purchess price is \$1.00 per above, receipt of which is hearly acknowledged.

The Shares represent 52.85% of the issued and constanting shares of TRL. The Shares are bring transferred beautodes free and clear of any lices, claims or encountrances and such transfer does not violate the Certificate of Incorporation of TPR or say agreement to which TPR is subject.

The trempers of the Sayl George 1993 Trest and of the Only George 1993 Trest ("Trums") have expect to execute on behalf of the Trums (i) an irrevocable Frozy to expoint Aria George to vote the Shares owned by the Trust and (ii) a voting trust letter agreement, copies of which are annexed hereto.

In case, at any time hereinalise, any further exists is necessary or desirable to certy out the purposes of this Letter Agreement, each of the parties hereto shall take or center to be taken all necessary action, including, without limitation, its execution and delivery of each

Epipeous

Page 2 Mr. Arie Georger Sagl George 1993 Trust Orly Georger 1993 Trust

further instruments and documents as may be reasonably requested by any party for such purpose or otherwise to complete or perfect the transations contemplated bereby.

This Letter Agreement chash be governed by the laws of the State of New York without regard to conflicts of law principles.

Very unity yours.

TPD INVESTMENT ASSOCIATES, INC.

ACREED TO AND ACCEPTED THIS _92 DAY OF OCTOBER, 2004

ARIH CHRYTHR

SAUTUENGER 1999 TRUST

David A. Pantes, Trustee

Eric Onbais, Transce

ORLY GENGER 1993 TRUST

Itravocable Proxy

The Segi Genger 1993 Trust (the "Trust"), being the current record and beneficial owner of 1,102,60 chares of common stock of Trais-Resource, Inc.; a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Sists of Delaware ("TRI"), with its principal place of business at 200 West 57th Street. New York, New York, 10019, does hereby constitute and epipelat Aris Genger, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and the owner of approximately fourteen present (13%) of the shales of common stock of TRI, to vote as its proxy, all shares of common stock of TRI which are now or hematics owned by the Trust, at any and all meetings of the stockholders of TRI, regular or special (or by consent in 1(e) of a meeting) or any adjournments thereof, in the same unamer and to the same extent that the Trust relight, were the Trust present at said meeting for executing such consent), upon any issue or proposal which may be brought business attach meeting or by such consent.

This irrovocable Froxy shall be deemed coupled with an interest and be irrovocable from the data honors, and shall continue for the duration of Asia Genger's life.

Detail: October 17 2014

The Sogi Georger 1993 Trest

Edo Chibetz, Trustee

Frankl & Former Triming

STATE OF NEW YORK

选.

COUNTY OF NEW YORK S

On the A day of Arbon in the year 2004 before me, the undersigned, a Netary Public in and for the State of New York, personally appeared ERIC GRIBETZ, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of ratiofactory evidence to be the inflyidual whose name is subscribed to the which increment and acknowledged to me that she executed the sense in her expecitly, and that by her nignature on the instruktion, the individual, or the person upon behalf of whose the individual acted, executed the inflying.

Nothey Poldic

STEPHEN PA. CALCULA Hoter Problem Grant of New York Co. 4580056 Caustical in New York Councy Commission Explore July 12, 16557 John J.

STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

On the <u>En' day</u> of <u>Olds has</u> in the year 2004 before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for the Siste of New York, personally appeared DAVID A. PARNES, personally known to me or proved to me on the bask of militariny evidence to be the individual whose name is subscribed to the within humanish and schooledged to me than she executed the same in her capacity, and that by her algorature on the instrument, the individual, or the person upon behalf of whom the individual acted, executed the instrument.

Debrail Hampf

DESOMALI KEMPY Numy Punto, Edda di Anny Yank Nu. 57 Cike Andesda Cuminad in Han Yan County Commission Explain August 2, 2004

- 2 -

isi funte

Inerocubio Proxy

The Orly Genger 1993 Trest (the "Trest"), being the current record and beneficial owner of 1,102.80 thoses of common stock of Trang-Resource, Dic., a composition organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware ("TRP"), with its principal place of business at 200 West 57° Street, New York, New York, 10019, does hereby constitute and appoint Arice Genger, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and the owner of approximately founces percent (14%) of the chares of common stock of TRI, to vote as its proxy, all shares of common stock of TRI, which we now or becenfter owned by the Trust, at any and all meetings of the stockholders of TRI, regular or special for by consent in lieu of a meeting) or any adjournments thereof, in the same manner and to the sprine extent that the Trust might, were the trust present at said meeting for executing such consent, upon any latter or proposal which may be brought before such specing or by such consent.

This Inevocable Proxy shall be deemed compled with an information of the detection and shall consinue for the deration of Aric Georges's life.

Dated: October 29: 2004

The Ody Geogra 1993 Taxs

Heic Gribetz, Trusco

David A. Parnes, Troube

STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

On the A day of AAAAAA in the year 2004 before me, the undersigned, a Hotary Public in and for the State of New York, personally appeared BRIC GRIBBITA, personally known to one or proved to me on the basis of self-statory evidence to be the individual whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and self-ewided to one that she executed the same in her capacity, and that by her signature on the instrument, the individual, or the person upon behalf of whom the individual soled, executed the large-page.

Principal Landing
House Fullis, Stone of New York
The Stone of New York
The Stone of New York
The Stone of New York
Commissed in New York
Commissed in New York
Commissed in Principal Page 1000

STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

On the <u>30</u> day of <u>11446.45</u> in the year 2004 before me, the underlyined, a Notary Public in and for the State of New York, personally appeared DAVID A. PARNES, personally known to me or proved to me on the bash of satisfactory evidence to be the individual whose more in mineral to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that she executed the came in her expensive, and test by her algebraics on the instrument, the individual, or the person upon bestelf of whom the ladividual acted, executed the instrument.

Notary Public

Denoral Xempt Nouvy Posts, Sing of New York Pa. 3-048 keeping Casting in New York Count Commission Equips August 8, 2006

Handahia.

IN THE COURT OF CHANCERY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

DALIA GENGER, as Trustee of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust,)
Plaintiff,)
٧.) Civil Action No.
TR INVESTORS, LLC. GLENCLOVA)
INVESTMENT CO., NEW TR EQUITY I,	Ý
LLC, NEW TR EQUITY II, LLC, TRANS-	}
RESOURCES, INC. and TPR)
INVESTMENT ASSOCIATES, INC.,	ý
·)
Defendants,)

<u>VERIFICATION</u>

STATE OF NEW YORK) ss: COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

Dalia Genger, being duly swom, deposes and states as follows:

I am the trustee of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust. I know the allegations contained in the Complaint to be true and correct of my own knowledge, except as to matters alleged upon information and belief. As to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Name: Dalia Genger

Trustee of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust

SWORN to and SUBSCRIBED before me this 34 pay of Dotte 2011

11/1/2

Notary public

ROBERT A. MEISTER Notary Public, State of New York No. 31-02ME2653350 Qualified in New York County

Commission Expires March 30, 2011

Exhibit 8

(FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 11/29/2011)
NYSCEP DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NG. 113862/2010 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/29/2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Date Filed: October 21, 2009

DALIA GENGER, as Trustee on behalf of

the Orly Genger 1993 Trust, and

DALIA GENGER, in her individual capacity,

Index No.

16113862

: SUMMONS

Plaintiff,

-against-

Basis of venue is

Plaintiff's Residency in New York

County

ARIE GENGER

Defendant.

Plaintiff resides at:

200 East 65th Street, # 32W

New York, NY 10021

X

To the Above-named Defendant:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon plaintiff's attorney an answer to the complaint in this action within twenty (20) days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service, or within 30 days after service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York. In case of your failure to answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

The basis of the venue designated is the county of plaintiff's place of residence, namely New York County.

Dated: New York, New York October 21, 2010 FILED

DOVITZ & MEISTER, LLP

ROJERT A. MEISTER

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1501 Broadway, Suite 800

New York, New York 10036

(212) 403-7330

<u>Defendant's Addresses</u>: Arie Genger 2600 Island Boulevard, Penthouse One Williams Island

Aventura, Florida 33160

SUPREME COURT OF THI COUNTY OF NEW YORK	E STATE OF NEW YORK			
DALIA GENGER, as Trustee	e on behalf of the Orly:			
Genger 1993 Trust, and DALIA GENGER, in her		*		
individual capacity,		:		16113862
**************************************	Plaintiff,	:	Index No.	1.19003
-against-		:		
		:		
ARIE GENGER,		4	COMPLAI	MI.
		÷		
•	Defendant.	•		
重春香農素 河台医司马马尔克克克尔克氏合己的线点的现在分式形式医院院警察院院	医鼻部膜 医唇痒 医艾克特罗氏 医多牙牙 经保险 医皮肤 经有效 经存货 医皮肤 化苯基苯甲基基苯基甲	X		

Plaintiff, Dalia Genger, by her attorneys, Pedowitz & Meister, LLP, for her Complaint alleges:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Dalia Genger ("Dalia") resides in New York County, New York, New York.
- Dalia Genger is the trustee of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust (the "Orly Trust") created by a
 Trust Agreement executed on December 13, 1993, between Aric Genger, as Grantor, and Lawrence
 M. Small and Sash A. Spencer, as Trustees.
- Defendant Arie Genger ("Arie") resides at 2600 Island Boulevard, Penthouse One, Williams
 Island, Aventura, Florida 33160.
- 4. In 1985, Arie founded Trans-Resources, Inc. ("TRI"), a closely held private corporation.
- 5. Prior to October, 2004, Arie was the controlling shareholder of defendant T.P., 2006 held corporation.
- 6. Prior to October, 2004, TPR owned 3,000 shares of the common stockness with the common stockness of the common stockness with the common stockness of the common stockness
- 7. On March 30, 2001, TRI, the other shareholders of TRI, TR Investors, LLC and Glencova Investment Co. (collectively, the "Trump Group") and TPR and Arie executed a Stockholders

Agreement (the "TRI Stockholders Agreement"). Exhibit 1 hereto is a true copy of the TRI Stockholders Agreement.

- 8. The TRI Stockholders Agreement was in full force and effect in October, 2006, and it remains in full force and effect.
- 9. Section 2.1 of the Stockholders Agreement prevented TPR from transferring or pledging TRI stock to any party other than a party expressly permitted to receive such a transfer (a "Permitted Transferee"). Section 2.1 provides in relevant part:

From and after the date hereof, no Stockholder shall directly or indirectly, offer, transfer, sell, assign, pledge, encumber, hypothecate or otherwise dispose of any Shares (including any derivative transaction) (a) until after December 21, 2003, and, thereafter, only as provided in Articles 3, 4, and 5 of this Agreement, or (b) after written notice to the Company and the other Stockholders ... to (i) in the case of either of the Initial Non-TPR Stockholders or their respective Permitted Transferees (A) to [certain Permitted Transferees], or (ii) in the case of TPR or a Permitted Transferee thereof, to (w) Arie Genger, (x) any entity or entities in which TPR or Arie Genger directly owns a majority of the equity interest and directly controls a majority of the voting power ... (y) the estate of Arie Genger or (z) any immediate family members or lineal descendants of Arie Genger, or trusts of which they are the sole beneficiaries-in-interest, who receive such Shares in consequence of the death of Arie Genger, which transferee(s) become a party to this Agreement....

Therefore the TRI Stockholders Agreement specified that the only Permitted Transferees to whom TPR could transfer TPR's TRI stock were: (i) Arie Genger himself; (ii) any entity in which TPR or Arie directly owned a majority of the equity interest and a majority of the voting power at the time of the transfer, (iii) the estate of Arie Genger; or (iv) any of Arie's immediate family members or lineal descendants, or trusts of which they are the sole beneficiaries-in-trust, who receive the transfer of shares as a result of Arie's death. And, even as to these Permitted Transferees, written notice of the transfers was required to be given to the Trump Group.

Article 3 of the TRI Stockholder Agreement requires that in the event a transfer was being made to a party who was not a Permitted Transferce, "[t]he Selling Stockholders shall give [TRI] and to each Covered Stockholder who is not the Selling Stockholder (the "Non-Selling Stockholders") written notice containing the terms and conditions of the Offer." Section 3.1 the stated that:

Until 30 days after receipt of such notice, the Non-Selling Stockholders shall have the right to elect to purchase all of the Offered Shares at the price offered by the prospective purchaser and specified in such notice.

- 12. The TRI Stockholders Agreement specified two remedies for transfers made in violation of the agreement. First, section 2.4 states that "[a]ny attempt by a Stockholder to transfer Shares in violation of this Agreement shall be void and the Company agrees that it will not effect such a transfer or treat any alleged transferee as the holder of such Shares." Second, section 3.2(a) provides that the Trump Group 90 days to exercise the right to repurchase TPR's shares of TRI if the shares were transferred to a non-Permitted Transferree.
- 13. On or about October 28, 2004, Arie and Dalia Genger entered into a contract in connection the settlement of their divorce ("Stipulation Agreement").
- 14. In the Stipulation Agreement, Arie agreed to cause TPR to transfer 1,102.80 shares of TRI Stock to the Orly Trust, which would give it 19.42766% of the common stock of TRI.
- 15. In the Stipulation Agreement, Arie also agreed to cause TPR to transfer 1,102.80 shares of TRI Stock to the Sagi Genger 1993 Trust, which would give it 19.42766% of the common stock of TRI, and to cause TPR to transfer to Arie and 794.40 shares of TRI stock, which would give Arie 13.99468% of the common stock of TRI.
- 16. In the Stipulation Agreement, Arie warranted that

Except for the consent of TPR...no consent, approval or similar action of any person is required in connection with the transfer of TRI Stock as contemplated hereby and

that such transfer will not conflict with any agreement to which Husband [Aric] is [a] party or by which he is bound.

- 17. On October 29, 2004, TPR and Arie entered into an agreement ("Letter Agreement") with the Orly and Sagi Trusts. Exhibit 2 is a true copy of the Letter Agreement.
- 18. By the Letter Agreement, TPR purported to sell to the Orly Trust and the Orly Trust bought 1,102.80 shares of TRI stock.
- 19. By the Letter Agreement, TPR purported to sell to the Sagi Trust and to Arie and the Sagi Trust and Arie bought 1,102.80 and 794.40 shares of TRI stock, respectively.
- 20. Neither Arie nor TPR gave the Trump Group written notice of the transfers of TRI stock by TPR provided for the Stipulation Agreement.
- 21. The Trump Group did not consent to the transfers of TRI stock by TPR provided for the Stipulation Agreement.
- 22. On August 18, 2010, the Delaware Chancery Court issued a Final Judgment Order in TRI Investors, et. al. v. Arie Genger, Civil Court Action Number 3994-VCS, which held that the October 29, 2004, transfers of TRI stock to the Orly Trust, the Sagi Trust and to Arie violated the TRI Stockholders Agreement and were void because the Trump Group was not given written notice of the transfers and the Orly Trust and the Sagi Trust were non-permitted transferees. Thus, the Court determined that therefore those 3,000 shares of TRI stock were owned by TPR.
- 23. Arie's failure to cause TPR to validly transfer 1,102.80 shares of TRI Stock to the Orly Trust was a breach of the Stipulation Agreement with Dalia Genger.
- 24. Arie's failure to cause TPR to validly transfer 1,102.80 shares of TRI Stock to the Orly Trust damaged the Orly Trust in the amount of the value of those shares.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter Judgment against Aric Genger and in favor of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust for the value of 1,102.80 shares of TRI Stock, plus interest from October 29, 2004, and awarding plaintiff her costs and attorneys fees and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

PEDOWITZ & MEISTEN, LLP

By: // / Robert Af Meister

1501 Broadway

New York, NY 10036

Attorneys for Plaintiff

212-403-7330

Exhibit 9

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 09/20/2011

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 112

INDEX NO. 651089/2010

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/20/2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ARIE GENGER and ORLY GENGER, in her individual capacity and on behalf of the ORLY GENGER 1993 Trust,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

SAGI GENGER, TPR INVESTMENT
ASSOCIATES, INC., DALIA GENGER, THE
SAGI GENGER 1993 TRUST, ROCHELLE
FANG, Individually and as Trustee of THE
SAGI GENGER 1993 TRUST, GLENCLOVA
INVESTMENT COMPANY, TR
INVESTORS, LLC, NEW TR EQUITY I,
LLC, NEW TR EQUITY II, LLC, JULES
TRUMP, EDDIE TRUMP, MARK HIRSCH,
and TRANS-RESOURCES, INC.

Defendants.

MDEX NO. 651089/2010

THIRD AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Arie Genger and Orly Genger, in her individual capacity and on behalf of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust, by their respective attorneys, for their Third Amended and Supplemental Complaint against the defendants in this action allege, on information and belief, as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Arie Genger ("Arie") is a resident of the State of Florida, and the father of the Plaintiff Orly Genger ("Orly") and the defendant Sagi Genger ("Sagi").
- 2. Plaintiff Orly Genger is the adult daughter of Arie Genger and Dalia Genger, and the beneficiary of the Orly Trust, and a resident of the State of New York and is the beneficiary of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust (the "Orly Trust"). She brings this action on behalf of the Orly Trust as the beneficiary of the Orly Trust to protect her interests thereunder.

- 3. Defendant Sagi Genger ("Sagi") is the estranged adult son of plaintiff Arie Genger and Defendant Dalia Genger, and is a resident of the City, County, and State of New York, and maintains an office at 1211 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10128.
- 4. Defendant TPR Investment Associates, Inc. ("TPR") is a Delaware Corporation with a principal office located at 1211 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10128.
- 5. At all relevant times to this Complaint Sagi is the President of defendant TPR and continues to be a controlling member of TPR's Board of Directors.
- 6. Defendant Dalia Genger ("Dalia") is the mother of Sagi Genger and Orly Genger and the former wife of the Plaintiff Arie Genger, and is a resident of the City, County and State of New York. Dalia is the putative trustee of the Orly Trust and at relevant times to this Complaint is believed to have been a director of TPR.
- Defendant The Sagi Genger 1993 Trust ("Sagi Trust") is a trust entity, and the beneficiary of the Sagi Trust is Sagi.
- 8. Defendant Rochelle Fang ("Fang") is the mother-in-law of the Defendant Sagi
 Genger and was the Trustee of the Sagi Trust during relevant periods in 2008, and is a resident of
 the City, County and State of New York.
- 9. Defendant Glenclova Investment Company ("Glenclova") is a Cayman Islands company with a business address in the Bahamas, and an owner of common stock of Trans-Resources, Inc. ("TRI"). On information and belief Glenclova is in turn owned by other off-shore companies directly or indirectly controlled by the Trump Parties as defined below.
- 10. Defendant TR Investors, LLC ("TR Investors") is a New Jersey Limited Liability Company and an owner of common stock of TRI. On information and belief TR Investors is in

turn owned by other off-shore companies directly or indirectly controlled by the Trump Parties as defined below.

- 11. Together Glenclova and TR Investors are controlled by the Trump Group of South Africa and are collectively referred to herein as the "Trump Group".
- 12. Defendant New TR Equity I, LLC ("New TR I") is a Delaware Limited Liability Company, controlled by Jules Trump and/or Eddie Trump.
- 13. Defendant New TR Equity II, LLC ("New TR II") is a Delaware Limited Liability Company, controlled by Jules Trump and/or Eddie Trump.
- 14. Defendant Jules Trump is a resident of Florida, and an officer and director of Glenelova.
- 15. Defendant Eddie Trump is a resident of Florida, and an officer and director of Glenclova.
- 16. Defendant Mark Hirsch is a resident of New York, and an officer and director of Glenclova.
- 17. The Trump Group, New TR I, New TR II, Jules Trump, Eddie Trump and Mark Hirsch are referred to herein as the "Trump Parties."
- 18. Defendant Trans-Resources, Inc. ("TRI") is a Delaware Corporation which is doing business in the City, County and State of New York, with its principal place of business located in the City, County and State of New York.
- 19. The claims set forth in this Complaint arise from the conduct of each of the defendants, or their respective agents and co-conspirators, who committed one or more (i) tortious acts within the state, (ii) tortious acts without New York State causing injury to the

Plaintiffs or their property within New York State, and (iii) breaches of contract or fiduciary duty arising from contractual obligations, transactions and fiduciary obligations performed or to be performed in New York State.

II. NATURE OF THE CASE

20. In this case, Plaintiffs Arie and Orly, in her individual capacity and on behalf of the Orly Trust, are seeking declaratory, injunctive, and other equitable relief, as well as additional compensatory damages in an amount yet to be determined arising from, inter alia, the loss of Arie's voting control of TRI and the tortious conduct of the defendants as set forth herein, in connection with the Trump Parties' campaign to take over and dilute the value of plaintiffs' interests in TRI, a company founded by Arie nearly three decades ago, including the defendants' wrongful, concerted efforts to strip Aric of his ownership and control of 52.85% of the shares of TRI's common stock, including the Orly Trust's interest in a portion of this TRI stock, and to oust Arie from his management control of TRI—all in an audacious series of breaches of contractual, fiduciary and legal obligations giving rise to each of the causes of action alleged in this Complaint.

III. FACTS RELEVANT TO ALL CLAIMS

A. Background

- 21. The Plaintiff Arie is the founder and former Chairman of TRI, a private corporation which, through its subsidiaries, is a leading distributor and manufacturer of agricultural fertilizer worldwide.
- 22. Arie founded TRI in 1985. Until 2001, TRI was wholly-owned by TPR Investment Associates, Inc. ("TPR"), a Genger family corporation established by Arie.

- 23. Prior to October 30, 2004, TPR was controlled by Plaintiff Arie who owned 51% of TPR stock.
- 24. Prior to October 30, 2004, Arie maintained majority control of TRI through his 51% majority ownership and control of TPR.
- 25. The minority 49% interest in TPR, prior to October 30, 2004, was owned by D&K, Ltd. Partnership ("D&K"), also a Genger family company, which was in turn owned by Aric's wife, Dalia. (4%), the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust (48% each).
- 26. The Sagi and Orly Trusts were established in 1993 by Arie as part of a family estate plan.
- 27. In 2001, defendants Glenclova and TR Investors became minority shareholders of TRI, acquiring approximately 47.15% of TRI common stock.
- 28. As a result of the Trump Group acquiring 47.15% of TRI common stock, TPR became the owner of 52.85% of TRI stock with Arie maintaining a 51% controlling interest in TPR. Through his 51% ownership of TPR, Arie maintained control of a majority 52.85% interest in TRI, the company he founded.
- 29. When the Trump Group became a minority shareholder of TRI, the Trump Group and TPR entered into the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement, dated March 30, 2001 (the "2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement") which, among other things, was intended to ensure that Arie (through his controlling interest in TPR) would continue to control the majority voting tights of TRI shares for the duration of his life.

- 30. The 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement specifically recognized that Arie was the 51% owner of TPR and that Dalia and the Orly Trust and the Sagi Trust through the D&K Limited Partnership together owned 49% of TPR.
- 31. The 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement contains certain provisions restricting the transfer of TPR's majority interest in TRI to someone other than Arie during his lifetime, except under certain enumerated conditions intended to ensure that Arie, as the majority shareholder of TPR, would maintain control over 52.85% of TRI shares during his life. The 2001 Stockholders Agreement specifically provides that exclusive jurisdiction over disputes relating to this 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement shall be in Federal courts or New York State courts sitting in the City, State, and County of New York. The full terms and conditions of the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement are incorporated herein by reference.

B. The Genger Divorce and Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement

- 32. In 2002, Dalia and Arie became embroiled in a bitter divorce action venued in the Supreme Court, New York County, entitled *Dalia Genger v. Arie Genger*, Index No. 302436-2002 ("Genger Divorce Action").
- 33. In October of 2004, Dalia and Arie agreed to a Stipulation of Settlement, which was incorporated into a Judgment of Divorce in the Genger Divorce Action on January 7, 2005 ("Stipulation of Settlement"), attached hereto as Exhibit A. This Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement, among other things equitably distributed between Arie and Dalia various marital assets, including the ownership interests in the family holding company TPR which then held 52.85% of TRI common stock which was controlled by Arie through his control of TPR.

 Pursuant to the terms of the Judgment of Divorce, the New York State Supreme Court retained

jurisdiction over the parties with respect to the enforcement of the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement.

- 34. Pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement and certain 2004 TPR Transaction Documents (described below) implementing the Stipulation of Settlement, it was agreed by and among Arie, Dalia, Sagi, Orly, the Orly Trust, and the Sagi Trust that as part of the settlement of the Genger Divorce Action, that Arie would transfer his 51% interest in the TPR marital asset to Dalia, in consideration of TPR concurrently divesting itself of its 52.85% majority interest in TRI on the following terms and conditions, which were all part of a single integrated transaction:
 - i. The defendant Sagi would become the President of TPR;
 - ii. TPR, by Sagi as President of TPR, would transfer to the plaintiff Arie direct ownership of 794.40 shares of TRI common stock representing 13:99468% of the common stock of TRI;
 - iii. TPR, by Sagi as President of TPR, would transfer to each of the Sagi Trust and Orly Trust, record ownership of 1,102.80 shares (or 19.42766 % each) of TRI stock, subject to and on the further conditions that:
 - (a) the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust would each execute and deliver a 2004 Voting Trust Agreement to Arie which provides further that:
 - (i) the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust would immediately execute and deliver to Arie an irrevocable voting proxy ("Putative Irrevocable Proxies") intended to ensure that Arie would maintain voting control over the Sagi Trust TRI shares and the Orly Trust TRI Shares for the duration of Arie's life, and
 - (ii) in the event that the Putative Irrevocable Proxies granted by the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust to Arie were ever held to be invalid then:
 - (1) a Back-up Voting Trust Agreement would be entered into promptly between each of the Sagi and Orly Trusts and Arie which:

- (a) grants Arie the right to vote the Orly Trust and Sagi Trust TRI shares for the duration of Arie's life,
- (b) provides that Arie is entitled to keep possession of the Sagi Trust and Orly Trust TRI Shares pursuant to a Voting Trust Agreement in a form attached to the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement, and
- (c) provides that the Orly and Sagi Trust TRI shares would not be sold without a prior Court order,

and the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement further provides that:

(2) pending the execution of the Back-up Voting Trust Agreement, the Orly and Sagi Trusts would be obligated to vote the Orly Trust and Sagi Trust Shares as directed by Arie during his lifetime.

Id.(emphasis added)

- 35. The Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement in the Genger Divorce Action is attached as Exhibit A hereto.
- 36. Article II of the Stipulation of Settlement in the Divorce Action, entitled "Distribution of Assets," specifically provides, in pertinent part, as follows:
 - [2. (a)] "(ii) [Dalia] shall receive... sole ownership of the husband's [Arie's] two hundred and fifty (250) shares of common stock of TPR Investment Associates, Inc. ("TPR"),... which represents fifty-one percent (51%) of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of TPR (exclusive of the 1.96% ownership of TPR, which the wife [Dalia] owns indirectly through her general partnership interests in D&K [partnership].
 - "9. (a) TPR owns 3,000 shares of stock in Trans-Resources, Inc. ("TRI Stock").... Concurrently with the execution of this agreement, the TRI Stock shall be distributed as follows:
 - "(i) The husband [Arle], shall receive 794.40 shares of the TRI Stock, representing 13.99468% of the common stock of TRI;

- (ii) Each of Sagi Genger and Orly Genger, will receive in trust 1,102.80 shares of TRI Stock representing 19.42766% of the common stock of TRI for each of Sagi and Orly, and such trusts will simultaneously therewith execute and deliver irrevocable proxics to the husband for all of the TRI Stock owned by the trusts; and
- "(c) Concurrently with the execution of this Agreement, each of the Husband and Wife will execute and deliver or cause TPR to execute and deliver (i) all documents reasonably necessary to effect the transfers required by subparagraph (a) of this Section 9, and (ii) duly executed stock powers to transfer the shares as required by subparagraph (a) of this Section 9.

"(e) Following the foregoing transfers of the TRI Stock, the wife [Dalia] will have no ownership interest in TRI."

(Stipulation of Settlement, Exhibit A hereto, Article II, paragraph 2(a) (ii), p.5, and paragraph 9 (a), (c) & (e) at pp. 13, 14) (emphasis added).

37. The Stipulation of Settlement also provides that this TPR/TRI transaction was a fundamental part of the Stipulation of Settlement, and specifically states in pertinent part, in bold and capital letter type:

"A FUNDAMENTAL PART OF THIS AGREEMENT IS THE HUSBAND'S RELINQUISHMENT OF HIS OWNERSHIP OF SHARES OF COMMON STOCK IN TPR, AND THE TRANSFER OF SUCH OWNERSHIP TO THE WIFE."

Stipulation of Settlement, Exhibit A hereto, Article 3, para. 1.

38. The Stipulation of Settlement also included an express reformation clause which provides that in the event that any term of the Stipulation of Settlement were to be voided by a court of competent jurisdiction for any reason, then either Dalia or Arie would be entitled to seek court-ordered reformation of the Stipulation of Settlement in a New York Court to give effect to

the intent of the parties to the fullest extent permitted by the laws of the State of New York. This reformation clause of the Stipulation of Settlement provides:

"ARTICLE XVI

POSSIBLE INVALIDITY

"If any provision of this [Stipulation of Settlement], for any reason whatsoever, be declared ... unenforceable by any Court of competent jurisdiction . . . the remainder of this Agreement and the application of such provision to any person or situations, other than those as to which such provision may have been invalid or unenforceable shall not be affected thereby and shall continue to be enforced to the fullest extent that such severance of the invalid portions is possible without vitiating the original intent and purposes and economic intentions of the parties (the "Original Intent"), as herein set forth.... In the event a provision is superseded under this [Stipulation of Settlement], cither party may seek reformation of the affected provision in any court of competent jurisdiction which shall be empowered to revise the provision to reflect the parties' Original Intent to the greatest extent possible, consistent with New York law. It is the intention of the parties hereto that this provision may be enforced in equity in addition to, and not to the exclusion of, any other remedies which may be available to the parties."

(Stipulation of Settlement, ARTICLE XVI, Exhibit A at pages 47-48) (emphasis added)).

- 39. Dalia was represented by separate, independent legal counsel in connection with the negotiation and her execution of the Stipulation of Settlement.
- 40. Dalia, through her counsel, received a complete copy of the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement prior to the execution of the Stipulation of Settlement.
- 41. Sagi had full knowledge of the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement prior to, and at the time that it was negotiated and executed, and was named as a fiduciary with regard to certain marital property distributed or to be distributed under the Stipulation of Settlement.

- 42. Sagi and his own counsel were provided with a full and complete copy of the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement prior to the execution of the Stipulation of Settlement.
- 43. Edward Klimmerman, a partner at Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal, LLP (now known as SNR Denton), represented Arie in the Genger Divorce Action, had full knowledge of the negotiation and terms of the Stipulation of Settlement at the time the Stipulation of Settlement was executed. Mr. Klimmerman signed the Stipulation of Settlement as a witness. Mr. Klimmerman was also legal counsel for TRI and TPR at the time the Stipulation of Settlement was executed.
- 44. As counsel for TRI and TPR, Mr. Klimmerman participated in the actual drafting of the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement. As Arie's counsel in the Genger Divorce Action, he did not advise Arie that anything in the Stipulation of Settlement violated any term of the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement between TPR and the Trump Group.
- 45. Arie reasonably believed that the transfers set forth in the 2004 Transfer

 Documents and Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement were valid and enforceable.
- 46. Dalia and her legal representatives reasonably believed that the 2004 Transfers provided for in the Stipulation of Settlement were valid and enforceable at the time Dalia entered into the Stipulation of Settlement.
- 47. Defendant Jules Trump, a representative of the Trump Group was aware of the Genger Divorce Action, and prior to the execution of the Stipulation of Settlement testified as a witness in the Genger Divorce Action in connection with the ownership of TRI shares.

- C. The 2004 TPR Transfer of TRI Shares Pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement
- 48. To implement the TPR and TRI share transfer provisions in the Stipulation of Settlement, Arie, the Sagi Trust, the Orly Trust and TPR, concurrently with the execution of the Stipulation of Settlement, entered into the following "2004 TPR Transaction Documents" in accordance with the express terms and intent set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement:
 - (i) a 2004 TPR Agreement to Transfer TRI Shares, dated October 29, 2004 (the "2004 TPR Transfer Agreement", attached hereto as Exhibit B); and
 - (ii) a 2004 Voting Trust Agreement, dated October 29, 2004 (the "2004 Voting Trust Agreement", attached hereto as Exhibit C), which attached:
 - (a) Executed Documents referred to as Putative Irrevocable Proxies, dated October 29, 2004, executed by the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust ("Putative Irrevocable Proxies", attached hereto as Exhibit D) which by their specific terms purported to grant to the Plaintiff Arie the right to control and vote the Sagi Trust TRI shares and the Orly Trust TRI shares for the duration of his life, and
 - (b) a form Back-up Voting Trust Agreements ("Back up Voting Trust Agreements", attached here to Exhibit E), which were to be executed promptly by the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust in the event that the Putative Irrevocable Proxies granted by the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust to Arie were ever held to be invalid, and provided that:
 - (i) the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust were required to vote the TRI shares in accordance with Arie's directions pending the execution of the Back-up Voting Trust Agreements in accordance with the express intent in the Stipulation of Settlement that Arie was to maintain voting control of the Sagi Trust and Orly Trust TRI shares for the duration of his life;
 - (ii) Arie would be entitled to keep possession of the Sagi Trust and Orly Trust TRI Shares pursuant to a Voting Trust Agreement in the form attached to the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement; and

(iii) the Orly and Sagi Trust TRI shares would not be sold without a prior Court Order.

- 49. Each of the 2004 Transaction Documents confirm the stated intention of the parties to the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 Transaction Documents that Arie, for the duration of his life, would continue to have voting control over 52.85% of the outstanding shares of TRI that were previously owned by TPR and controlled in turn by Arie through his 51% ownership of TPR.
 - D. The 2004 TPR Transfer Agreement-Exhibit B
- 50. The 2004 Transfer Agreement executed by Sagi himself on behalf of TPR, provides:

"This letter will set forth our agreement pursuant to which you will purchase the 3,000 shares of common stock ("the Shares") of Trans-Resources, Inc. ("TRI") owned by TPR Investment Associates, Inc. ("TPR"). TPR hereby sells, transfers and conveys the Shares to you as follows:

- (i) 794,40 shares to Arie Genger;
- (ii) 1,102.80 Shares to the Sagi Genger 1993 Trust, and
- (iii) 1,102.80 Shares to the Orly Genger 1993 Trust.

"The Shares represent 52.85% of the issued and outstanding shares of TRI. The Shares are being transferred hereunder free and clear of any liens, claims or encumbrances and such transfer does not violate the Certificate of Incorporation of TPR or any agreement to which TPR is subject.

"The trustees of the Sagi Georger 1993 Trust and of the Orly Georger 1993 Trust ("Trusts") have agreed to execute on behalf of the Trusts (i) an Irrevocable Proxy to appoint Aric Georger to vote the Shares owned by the Trusts and (ii) a voting trust letter agreement, copies of which are annexed hereto."

"In case, at any time hereinafter, any further action is necessary or desirable to carry out the purposes of this Letter Agreement, each of the parties hereto shall take or cause to be taken all necessary action, including, without limitation, the execution and delivery of such further instruments and documents which may be reasonably requested by any party for such purpose or otherwise to complete or perfect the transactions contemplated hereby."

This Letter Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York without regard to conflicts of law principles.

(2004 TPR Transfer Agreement, Exhibit B) (emphasis added)

- E. The 2004 Voting Trust Agreements (Exhibit C hereto)
- 51. In accordance with the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement (Exhibit A) and the 2004 TPR Transfer Agreement (Exhibit B), Arie and the Sagi and Orly Trusts, respectively, entered into identical 2004 Voting Trust Letter Agreements in favor of Arie (Exhibit C) which provide as follows:

"In connection with the transfer to the [Sagi and Orly Trusts] of 1,102.80 shares [each] of common stock (the "Shares") of Trans-Resources, Inc. (TRI) pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Stipulation of Settlement of even date herewith, the [Sagi and Orly] trust is [each] granting to Arie Genger an irrevocable proxy ("the Proxy") for the shares, a copy of which is annexed hereto.

(Exhibit C)(emphasis added).

- F. The 2004 Putative Irrevocable Proxies Executed By The Sagi and Orly Trusts (Exhibit D, hereto)
- 52. In accordance with the 2004 TPR Transfer Agreement, the Stipulation of Settlement, and the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement, the Sagi and Orly Trusts executed two identical Putative Irrevocable Proxies, each of which states, in relevant part, that:

"[The Sagi Trust and Orly Trust, each respectively], ("the Trust") being the current record and beneficial owner of 1,102.80 shares of common stock of [TRI] does hereby constitute and appoint Arie

Genger, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and owner of approximately fourteen percent (14%) of the shares of common stock of TRI to vote as its proxy, all shares of common stock of TRI which are now or hereafter owned by the Trust, at any and all meetings of the stockholders of TRI, regular or special, or by consent in licu of meeting or any adjournments thereof in the same manner and to the same extent that the Trust might were the Trust present at said meeting (or executing such consent), upon any issue or proposal which may be brought before such meeting or by such consent.

"This Irrevocable Proxy shall he deemed coupled with an interest and be irrevocable from the date hereof, and shall continue for the duration of Aric Genger's life."

(Exhibit D)(emphasis added).

53. The 2004 Voting Trust Agreement further provides that in the event that the Putative Irrevocable Proxies were ever declared invalid, the Orly and Sagi Trusts would be obligated to enter into a Back-up Voting Trust Agreement (Exhibit E) to assure that Arie would maintain voting control over a majority of TRI shares for the duration of his life. This part of the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement, executed by the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust, provides:

"In the event that for any reason the Proxy is declared invalid and is no longer in effect, the Trust agrees, as promptly as practicable, to enter into a Voting Trust Agreement (the "Voting Agreement") with Arie Genger as the voting trustee, which shall be substantially in the form annexed hereto. During the time the Proxy is not in effect and prior to the time the Voting Agreement is entered into, the Sagi Trust agrees to vote the shares as directed in writing by Arie Genger."

(2004 Voting Trust Agreement, Exhibit C) (emphasis added).

- G. Back-Up Voting Trust Agreement -(Exhibit E hereto)
- 54. The Backup Voting Trust Agreement, which springs into effect upon the Putative Irrevocable Proxies being voided, provides:

"The Trust Securities [the Sagi and Orly TRI Shares subject to the Voting Trust] shall be held by the Trustee [Arie Genger] for the purposes of and in accordance with this Agreement and none of the Trust Securities shall be sold or otherwise disposed of by the Trustee except as herein expressly provided or in accordance with a final order of any Court or administrative agency with jurisdiction thereover."

※ ※ ※

"This Agreement shall continue in effect for the duration of Arie Genger's Life"

Exhibit E. (emphasis added)

- 55. In order to ensure that he maintained absolute control of the TRI shares for the duration of his life, Arie never delivered physical copies of TPR's TRI shares to the Orly or Sagi Trusts.
 - H. The Intent of the Parties
- The written 2004 Transaction Documents and the Stipulation of Settlement reflect the stated intention of all the parties to these collective documents, to wit, that in order to resolve the contested Genger Divorce Action, Arie agreed as part of the distribution of marital assets to transfer his 51% interest in the family holding company, TPR, to Dalia, in exchange for Dalia agreeing that TPR would concurrently divest itself of its 52.85% interest in the common stock of TRI by distributing TPR's 52.85% majority interest in TRI to Arie (14%), the Sagi Trust (19.425%), and the Orly Trust (19.425%) each, on the express further condition that Arie maintain voting rights for the Sagi Trust and Orly Trust TRI shares for the duration of his life.
- 57. Arie, Dalia, Sagi and Orly each reasonably believed that the 2004 Transfers provided for in the Stipulation of Settlement were valid and enforceable.

- 58. Arie, Dalia, Sagi and Orly each reasonably believed that the Putative Irrevocable Proxies and Voting Trust Agreements were valid and assured that Arie would be able to maintain 58.85% voting control for the duration of his life.
- 59. Accordingly, the concurrently executed integrated 2004 Transfer Documents were intended to implement the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement which provided expressly that Arie was to retain his 52.85% voting control over TRI and concomitantly continue to control the management and Board of TRI during his lifetime.
- 60. It was also the parties' stated intention, and all parties reasonably believed, that the terms of the court-ordered Stipulation of Settlement with Dalia would not violate the terms or intent of the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement because Arie would still control what had been TPR's voting majority of TRI shares.
- 61. The Genger Divorce Action was not concealed from TRI, the Trump Group, or the Trump Parties at any time.
- 62. The 2004 transfers of TRI shares to Arie, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust were reflected in the books and records of TRI.
- 63. Prior to 2008, Jules Trump, and Mark Hirsch, each individually and on behalf of the Trump Group between 2004 and 2008 had access to the books and records of TRI.
- 64. Between 2004 and 2008, as a Director of TRI, Jules Trump and Mark Hirsch, each had a fiduciary duty and legal duty of reasonable care to the record and beneficial owners, including TPR and TRI, to know the identity of all TRI shareholders of record as set forth in the books and records of TRI- a close corporation.

- 65. As a Directors of TRI, Jules Trump, and Mark Hirsch, each individually and on behalf of TRI, had a fiduciary and legal duty of reasonable care to TPR and Arie to read all corporate Board minutes and other documents presented to the Directors and/or shareholders of TRI, in their entirety, prior to signing such documents.
 - 66. Prior to 2008, the books and records of TRI show that:
- (i) Arie was record owner of 794.40 shares of TRI, representing 13.9946% of the common stock of TRI;
- (ii) the Sagi Trust was the record owner of 1102.80 TRI shares, representing 19.42766% of the common stock of TRI; and
- (iii) the Orly Trust was the record owner of 1102.80 TRI shares, representing 19.42766% of the common stock of TRI.
- 67. Prior to 2008, the 2004 Transfers and the record ownership of TRI shares was known by:
 - (i) the officers and directors of TRI, in addition to Arie
 - (ii) TRI's legal counsel; and
 - (iii) TRI's Certified Public Accountant.
- 68. Jules Trump, and Mark Hirsch, each individually and on behalf of the Trump Group executed corporate TRI documents as Director and/or on behalf of a shareholder of TRI which indicated that Arie, the Orly Trust and the Sagi Trust were the record owners of TRI shares.

- 69. The terms of the Stipulation of Settlement relating to the distribution of TPR and TRI shares as marital assets in connection with the Genger Divorce Action were not in any way or at any time concealed from TRI, the Trump Group, or the Trump Parties.
- 70. Prior to 2008, the Trump Group, through Jules Trump, who testified in the Genger Divorce Action, and otherwise knew, and was aware that the Genger Divorce Action could involve in some way the equitable distribution of TPR shares owned by Arie as part of the divorce action.
- 71. Prior to 2008, the Trump Group, through Jules Trump, who testified in the Genger Divorce Action, knew, and was aware that the Genger Divorce Action involved in some way the value of TPR's ownership of TRI shares.
- 72. Prior to 2008, the Trump Group, through Jules Trump, who testified in the Genger Divorce Action, knew, and was aware that the Genger Divorce Action involved in some way Arie's management and control over TRI, the company that he had founded and managed since 1985.
- 73. Prior to 2008, Jules Trump knew that ownership of TPR, as a marital asset which owned 52.85% of TRI shares, was a major asset and was the subject of a claim by Dalia for equitable distribution in the Genger Divorce Action.
- 74. Prior to 2008, the Trump Group, through Jules Trump, who testified in the Genger Divorce Action, knew, and was aware that the Genger Divorce Action would involve in some way the equitable distribution of TPR assets, including Arie's 51% majority ownership of TPR.
- 75. Prior to 2008, the Trump Group, through Jules Trump who testified in the Genger Divorce Action, and otherwise knew, and was aware that the Genger Divorce Action could

Agreement as an owner of 49% of TPR (the majority Shareholder of TRI) and further disclosed that in turn this 49% minority ownership of TPR by D&K was owned by Arie's wife Dalia (4%) the Sagi Trust (48%) and the Orly Trust (48%), and that these Trust interests could be effected by the equitable distribution of TPR assets as part of the Genger Divorce Action.

- 76. The Trump Group knew that the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement did not contain an express provision setting forth the rights and obligations of TPR, Arie or the Trump Group in the event of a contested divorce involving Arie's ownership of TPR shares as marital property, including TPR's ownership of TRI shares under New York's equitable distribution laws or otherwise.
- 77. Prior to 2008, Arie had reason to believe that The Trump Group, through Jules Trump, and otherwise, had notice of the 2004 Transfers.
- 78. Prior to 2008, Arie had reason to believe that The Trump Group had notice of the 2004 Transfers which were reflected in TRI corporate documents.
- 79. Prior to 2008, the Trump Group and Jules Trump, in particular, had knowledge of facts in 2002-2004 including, but not limited, to the fact that Arie was involved in a bitter contested divorce, and that the issue of Arie's ownership interests in TRI, through TPR or otherwise, was an issue raised in the Genger Divorce Action.
- 80. Between 2004 and 2008, Directors of TRI, its legal counsel, Secretary, as well as its Controller, knew of the 2004 transfers.

- 81. Between 2004 and 2008, TRI, under Arie's management, reported the new company ownership resulting from the 2004 transfers to its lead bank, the IRS, and TRI's insurance company.
- Between October 2004 and August of 2008 nothing prevented the Trump Group,
 Jules Trump, TRI or its officers or directors, or any of them, from making any inquiry
 whatsoever of Arie, Orly, TPR, TRI, TRI's general counsel, Dalia, Sagi, the Sagi Trust, the Orly
 Trust, or D&K, concerning the effect, if any, that the Genger Divorce Action, or any judgment or
 resolution of the Genger Divorce Action had, would or could have, on (i) the ownership of TPR,
 (ii) Arie's ownership of a majority interest of TPR, (iii) TPR's ownership of TRI, (iv) Dalia's
 interest in D&K minority ownership of TRI, or the rights of the Sagi Trust or the Orly Trust as
 limited partners of D&K which owned approximately 49% each of TPR which ownership was
 acknowledged by the Trump Group in the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement.
- 83. Between October 2004 and August of 2008 nothing prevented the Trump Group, Jules Trump, TRI or its officers or directors, or any of them, from making any inquiry whatsoever of Arie, Orly, TPR, TRI, TRI's general counsel, Dalia, Sagi, the Sagi Trust, the Orly Trust, or D&K as to the identity of the record owners of TRI.
- 84. Jules Trump, Eddie Trump and Mark Hirsch (an attorney) at all times relevant to this complaint were sophisticated business individuals, with special expertise in corporate governance and ownership.
- 85. At all times relevant to this action the Trump Parties had access to independent legal counsel.

- 86. Prior to 2008, the Trump Group, by Jules Trump, and otherwise, acted with willful blindness and indifference to facts which put The Trump Group on notice of (i) the 2004 Transfers, and (ii) that Arie, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust were record owners of their respective TRI shares.
- 87. The Trump Group, by Jules Trump, and otherwise, waived any objection to the 2004 Transfers.
- 88. Between 2004 and 2008, under Arie's management, TRI's business performance improved consistently without any complaint or objection by TRI's Board of Directors including but not limited to representatives of the Trump Group.
 - I. The Trump Parties' 2008 Scheme and Conspiracy to Seize Majority Control of TRI, Squeeze Out Arie as an Officer, Director and Shareholder of TRI and Dilute the Value of Arie's, Orly's and the Orly Trust's Interests in the Affected TRI Shares
- 89. There was no hint of any substantial disagreement between Arie and the Trump Group relating to the Genger Divorce Action, or otherwise, until June of 2008, when TRI's value increased substantially as a result of improved performance.
- 90. In the early Spring of 2008, TRI was facing a threat of foreclosure of one of its major operating units by Bank Happalim, a long-time lender of TRI.
- 91. To address this foreclosure threat, Aric and the Trump Group explored the possibility of a sale of assets and restructuring plan involving the spin-off of all of TRI's North American assets to a separate entity and a capital loan by the Trump Group into a residual TRI to retire the Bank Hapoalim debt in exchange for increasing the equity position of the Trump Group in the restructured TRI so that the Trump Group would obtain a majority position (the "Funding Plan").

- 92. Had the Funding Plan been implemented, in return for providing funding Jules and Eddie Trump through TR-I and TR-II would, for the first time, have been permitted to obtain a direct ownership interest in TRI, and the Trump Parties, under the Funding Plan, would have obtained majority control over TRI, the company that Arie had founded and worked so hard to make succeed.
- 93. TRI's legal counsel advised that it would be necessary for a special committee of the Board to be constituted in order for the Board to approve the Funding Plan without the vote of Jules Trump who was an interested Director with a conflict of interest.
- 94. Despite requests from Arie to constitute such a committee, the Trump Group Directors failed to agree to the appointment of such committee.
- 95. The Board of TRI never constituted a special committee in order for the Board to approve the Funding Plan.
- 96. The implementation of the Funding Plan was also subject to other conditions relating to the value of the transaction which conditions were never met.
- 97. While the proposed Funding Plan was being worked on, the financial condition of TRI began to improve substantially. By late June 2004, TRI was generating positive cash flow of \$15-20 million per month. This represented a dramatic improvement in TRI's earnings within a matter of months in 2008, and rendered the Funding Plan unnecessary.
- 98. As a result, there was no longer a need for TRI or Arie to move forward with the Trump Group Funding Plan that would have given the Trump Parties' majority control of TRI.

- 99. To proceed with the Funding Agreement would have diluted the shares of existing shareholders and cause TRI to pay the Trump Group unreasonable fees for a loan that was not needed.
- establishment of an independent committee of the Board to approve the Funding Plan, which the Trump Group refused to empanel, and the fact such a Funding Plan was no longer necessary because of improved performance of TRI which permitted the satisfaction of the Bank Hapoalim loan, the Funding Plan was rejected and the Bank Hapoalim loan satisfied with TRI's own substantially improved earnings without the need for funding from the Trump Parties or any other third-party.
- 101. On information and belief, the Bank Haopolim's threat of foreclesure and the Funding Plan takeover proposed by the Trump Group were also engineered by Jules Trump, individually, and on behalf of the Trump Group with the aid, assistance and further illegal conduct of the Trump Group and a principal officer of Bank Haopolim with whom the Trump Group had other business entanglements.
- 102. Thwarted in their effort to take over the controlling interest in TRI through the rejected Funding Plan, the Trump Group, Mark Hirsch, Eddie Trump, and Jules Trump formulated a further illegal scheme and plan to take over the then very profitable TRI, and oust Arie as its CEO, and steal the Genger family TRI shares at a fraction of their value.
- 103. In furtherance of this plan, the Trump Group leveled an extortionate threat at Arie demanding that unless Arie agreed to turn over majority control of TRI to the Trump Group pursuant to the Funding Plan (which was no longer necessary), the Trump Group would declare

that the 2004 TPR transfers of TRI shares to Arie, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust under the Stipulation of Settlement were void under the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement even though Arie as a permitted Transferee held 14% of TRI outright, and had received a Voting Trust Agreement and Putative Irrevocable Proxies from the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust which, on their face, gave Arie the right during his lifetime to control the management of TRI, and vote the same 52.85% shares of TRI stock that had been controlled by Arie through his 51% ownership of TPR prior to the Stipulation of Settlement and the execution of the 2004 Transfer Documents. Thus, there was virtually no effective difference in Arie's control of TRI Shares as between his majority control of TPR prior to the execution of the Stipulation of Settlement, and the intended control that Arie would exercise after the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 Transfer Documents were executed.

- 104. At the time they made this threat in 2008, the Trump Parties, among other things, knew that:
- (i) the intent of the parties pursuant to the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement in restricting certain transfers to Genger family members was to ensure that (a) Arie, personally, would continue to have management and majority shareholder voting control over TPR's TRI shares for the duration of his life, and (b) prevent any other Genger family member from having any management or voting control over TRI during Arie's lifetime;
- (ii) pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement and the 2004 TPR-TRI Transfer Documents Arie, Dafia, Orly and Sagi, specifically intended to preserve Arie's management and voting control of TRI, in the context of resolving the equitable distribution of marital property issues concerning TPR raised in the Genger Divorce Action;

- (iii) that as a condition for Arie transferring his 51% interest in TPR to Dalia in the Genger Divorce Action, it was intended that pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 Transaction Documents Arie would receive valid Putative Irrevocable Proxies and Back-up Voting Trust Agreements from the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust designed to ensure that Arie would retain voting control over a majority of TRI shares for the duration of his life even if the Putative Irrevocable Proxies were held to be invalid; and
- (iv) that if the 2004 TPR transfer of TRI shares were voided, as threatened by the Trump Group, then:
- (a) 52.85% of TRI shares would revert to TPR, which would be unjustly enriched because TPR, under the Stipulation of Settlement and implementing 2004

 Transaction Documents, had already divested itself of those TRI shares in consideration of Arie relinquishing his 51% ownership of TPR to Dalia;
- (b) that Arie would be the beneficial owners of such 3000 TRI Shares, and Arie would have the right to vote the 52.85% of TRI shares that were returned to TPR, subject to the Orly and Sagi Trust rights to receive certain of these shares upon Arie's death;
- (c) Sagi was not authorized to act on behalf of TPR to sell any of the TRI shares without the consent of Arie as the beneficial owner of the Arie TRI Shares, and the beneficial holder of the voting rights to TPR's TRI Shares;
- (d) Arie would be entitled to reform the Stipulation of Settlement, pursuant to the express terms of the Stipulation of Settlement, so that Arie would regain control of 51% of TPR's ownership and control of 52.85% of TRI's shares;

- (e) that the New York Supreme Court under the Judgment of Divorce, had retained jurisdiction over Arie and Dalia in connection with enforcing the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement, including Arie's reformation claims; and
- (f) TPR, under Sagi's control, was not authorized to sell TRI shares to the Trump Group without the consent of Aric, as beneficial owner of TPR's record ownership of the TRI shares, in the event the 2004 Transfers were held to be invalid;
- (v) that if the Putative Irrevocable Proxies (Exhibit D) were held to be invalid, that the Sagi and Orly Trust shares would be subject to the Back-Up Voting Trust Agreement Exhibit E) which was referred to in the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement (Exhibit C).
- 105. Nevertheless, on August 8, 2008, the Trump Group, in furtherance of their plan to pressure Arie and take over a majority control of TRI, sent a letter to TPR asserting that it had the right under Section 3.2 of the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement to purchase all of the TRI shares that were the subject of the Stipulation of Settlement transfers in 2004, at 2004 prices a small fraction of what is believed to have been a TRI value in excess of \$1 billion in 2008, which had not after-tax income in excess of \$150 million in that year. The Trump Group provided no such letter or notice to Orly or the Orly Trust or the Sagi Trust.
- 106. Three days later, on August 11, 2008, the Trump Parties, through Glenclova, commenced an action in the Federal District Court of the Southern District of New York against TPR (the "Federal Action") claiming that the transfer of the TRI shares by TPR to Arie Genger, the Orly Trust and the Sagi Trust pursuant to the express terms of the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 Transaction Documents, was void.

- 107. Notwithstanding the Trump Parties' respective specific knowledge in 2008 of the existence and terms of the Court-ordered Stipulation of Settlement and the 2004 TPR Transfer Documents, the Trump Group did not name Arie, Dalia, the Sagi Trust or the Orly Trust as parties in the Federal Action.
- 108. The Trump Parties knew at the time they commenced the Federal Action that Arie was a known third party beneficiary under the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement and that the 2004 Transfers were approved in a Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement in the Genger Divorce Action, but nevertheless opposed Arie's motion to intervene in the Federal Action.
- 109. The Trump Parties also knew that Sagi, as a result of the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 TPR Transfer Documents, became the president of TPR, but that he had since become estranged from his father, and that Sagi had installed his mother-in-law Rochelle Fang as the nominal trustee of the Sagi Trust and that he exercised total dominion and control over her.
- 110. The Trump Parties also knew that if the 2004 TPR transfers of TRI shares to Arie, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust pursuant to the "So-Ordered" Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 Transfer Documents were voided, that Arie's transfer of his 51% ownership in TPR to Dalia would also have to be voided, and that as a consequence Dalia, or Sagi as her successor-in-interest, as a matter of New York law, would have to hold this 51% TPR interest in a constructive trust for the benefit of Arie with respect to TPR's ownership of the TRI Shares.
- 111. The Trump Parties knew that neither TPR, nor Sagi as its President, would be authorized to sell any of TPR's shares of TRI to the Trump Group in the event that the 2004 TPR Transfers of TRI Shares to Arie, the Sagi Trust or the Orly Trust were voided because in that

event those TRI shares would be returned to TPR subject to a constructive trust for the benefit of Arie.

- 112. Prior to August 22, 2008, the Trump Group also knew that in the event that the 2004 transfers by TPR of TRI Shares to Arie, the Sagi Trust or the Orly Trust were voided, Sagi, as the President of TPR, also owed a separate fiduciary duty to Arie and the Orly Trust with respect to the 3000 TRI shares that would revert to TPR.
- 113. TPR and Sagi each had a fiduciary and contractual duty to Ario to hold any TRI shares that reverted to TPR in a constructive trust for their respective benefits as the beneficial owner of such shares, and also owed a fiduciary duty to Orly and the Orly Trust with respect to her interest in a portion of these shares.
- 114. Nevertheless, in August, 2008, the Trump Group met, conspired and schemed with Sagi and/or his representatives to contrive a plan to offer Sagi approximately \$26.7 million to induce Sagi to cause the Sagi Trust, through his mother-in-law Fang, to transfer to the Trump Parties the Sagi Trust's 19.425% of TRI shares that the Sagi Trust received pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 TPR Transaction Documents. This \$26.7 million payment was a fraction of the value of those shares at that time given the performance of TRI which, in 2008 had after tax earnings of \$150,000,000 of sales of approximately \$1 billion.
- 115. As part of this conspiracy and scheme, the purchase of the Sagi Trust Shares was to be conditioned on Sagi, purportedly as the President of TPR, further agreeing that if the Court in the Federal Action, or any other court, held that the 2004 TPR Transactions were void, then TPR would be "deemed" the Seller of the Sagi Shares, even though the Sagi Trust could keep the \$26.7 million, and even though TPR had no right or authority to sell the Arie, the Sagi Trust and

Orly Trust Shares without the consent of Arie as beneficial owners of these respective shares, subject to Orly's interest to receive the Orly Trust TRI Shares upon Arie's death, and Arie's beneficial interest in the voting rights for the Sagi Trust, Orly Trust and Arie TRI Shares.

- 116. The Trump Group defendants knew that if the \$26.7 million purported purchase price of the Sagi Trust Shares was paid to the Sagi Trust that the money would need to be returned to TPR.
- 117. The Trump Group defendants knew that Sagi, on behalf of TPR was not authorized to sell the Sagi Trust Shares, in the event the 2004 Transfers were declared invalid.
- 118. The Trump Group defendants never sought or received a representation by TPR or Sagi that Sagi, on behalf of TPR was authorized to sell any TRI Shares to the Trump Group.
- authorized to sell any of TPR's TRI shares to the Trump Group in the event that the 2004 Shares reverted to TPR that Sagi and TPR had a fiduciary and contractual duty to protect Arie's control over the 52.85% of TRI Shares for the duration of his life.
- 120. The Trump Group did not obtain a representation from Sagi or TPR as to whether any sale by the Sagi Trust or TPR would be subject to Arie's right to vote those Shares for the duration of his life in accordance with the terms of the Court-ordered Stipulation of Settlement and the 2004 Transaction Documents.
- 121. As part of this conspiracy and scheme the Trump Parties also required that in order for Sagi to get the \$26.7 million put in his Trust, Sagi purportedly as the President of TPR would have to further agree in a "side letter" that if the Court in the Federal Action, or any other court, held that the 2004 TPR Transactions were void, then TPR would also agree to sell the 14%

Arie TRI shares and the 19.425% Orly Trust Shares, which TPR had already sold to Arie and the Orly Trust pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement and the 2004 Transaction Documents, to the Trump Parties at a price that was 60% less than the price per share to be paid to the Sagi Trust.

Again, Sagi had no authority to make this sale on behalf of TPR.

- 122. As part of the same conspiracy and scheme, the Trump Parties also insisted that neither the Sagi Trust nor TPR take any action to protect the voting rights of Arie that were granted to Arie by the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust under the 2004 Voting Trust Agreements in accordance with the 2004 TPR Transfer Agreement.
- Group proposed a scheme and conspiracy that the Trump Parties knew would require Sagi to use his position as President of TPR to obtain a personal benefit by having \$26.7 million paid to his Sagi Trust, while selling out Arie's and the Orly Trust's TRI shares for a fraction of their real value in an obvious breach of Sagi's fiduciary and contractual duties to Arie and the Orly Trust, which breaches of duty arose from, among other things, (i) Sagi's self-dealing transactions as an officer of TPR to get \$26.7 million from the Trump Group for his Sagi Trust shares while at the same time and at the expense of Arie and the Orly Trust agreeing to sell their TRI shares at a price per share that was 60% lower than the deal Sagi was making for himself through the sale of the Sagi Trust shares to the Trump Parties, (ii) ignoring Arie's and the Orly Trust's beneficial interest in the value of TPR's ownership of specific TRI stock that TPR had already transferred to Arie and the Orly Trust for valuable consideration pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement and the 2004 TPR Transaction documents; (iii) inducing Fang as the Trustee of the Sagi Trust to violate the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement with Arie; (iv) inducing the trustees of the Orly Trust

to violate their fiduciary obligations to the Orly Trust and Orly; (v) failing to protect Arie's voting rights to 52.85% of TRI shares in accordance with the express intent and provisions of the Stipulation of Settlement and the 2004 Transaction Documents; (vi) disposing of and substantially devaluing the asset value of TPR's ownership of TRI shares, with knowledge that such shares would be subject to Arie's claims for imposing a constructive trust on such shares, reformation and/or rescission under the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement with respect to restoring Arie's rights to a 51 % ownership of TPR insofar as TPR's ownership of TRI shares was concerned; (vii) failing to disclose to Arie, Orly, or the Orly Trust the terms of the Trump Parties' proposed scheme and plan to purchase the same TRI shares that TPR previously sold to Arie and the Orly Trust under the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 TPR Transfer Agreement, (viii) failing to take all actions necessary to comply with the stated intentions of the parties to the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 Transaction Documents, and (ix) entering a self-interested, unauthorized, ultra-vires agreement, ostensibly on behalf of TPR but in reality using his position as President of TPR for his own personal gain to the detriment of Arie's and the Orly Trust's legal and equitable interests in TPR's ownership of the TRI shares that were transferred to each of them by TPR four years earlier, pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 Transaction Documents.

124. In furtherance of this scheme and conspiracy, the Trump Parties, the Sagi Trust, by Rochelle Fang, and Sagi, purportedly on behalf of TPR, executed a 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement with the Sagi Trust without the knowledge or consent of Arie or the Orly Trust, to sell the 1102.80 Sagi Trust Shares of TRI common stock representing 19.425% of the shares of

stock to the Trump Group and two new entities - TR I and TR II - for \$26,715,416.00. ("2008 Stock Purchase Agreement", attached hereto as Exhibit F).

- 125. When combined with the Trump Parties' minority share of 47.15 %, this Sagi
 Trust sale would give the Trump Parties a 66.575% majority and controlling voting interest in
 TRI, in direct derogation of the intent of the Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement and the
 Putative Irrevocable Proxies and 2004 Voting Trust Letter Agreement.
- 126. The Trump Parties acknowledged the existence of the Putative Irrevocable Proxies and 2004 Voting Trust Letter Agreement in the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement, representing and warranting that:

"Such Purchaser hereby acknowledges receipt of copies of the irrevocable proxy dated as of October 29, 2004, issued by Seller ["Sagi Trust"] in favor of Arie Genger with respect to the shares (the "Proxy"), a backup form of voting trust agreement and voting trust certificate delivered in connection with the Proxy and the Letter Agreement dated October 29, 2004 [2004 Voting Trust Letter Agreement] with respect to the transfer of shares from TPR to Seller"

- 127. The 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement also states that if the 2004 transfer of TRI shares by TPR to the Sagi Trust were determined to be void and the shares returned to TPR then TPR would be deemed the seller of the Sagi Trust Shares to the Trump Parties for \$26.7 million, even though this \$26.7 million would have already been paid to the Sagi Trust controlled by Sagi through his mother-in-law Fang. There was no provision with respect to the reallocation of the sales proceeds to TPR.
- 128. The 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement violated the terms of the 2001 Stockholders Agreement, including, but not limited to, Section 3.2 of that Agreement.

- 129. By entering into the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement, the Trump Group voluntarily and intentionally waived any right to purchase the Sagi Trust Shares under the 2001 Stockholders Agreement.
- 130. Also through its intentional conduct, including its violation of the 2001 Stockholders Agreement, the Trump Group is estopped from utilizing any purported right to purchase under the 2001 Stockholders Agreement.
- of his contractual, legal and fiduciary duties owed to Arie and Orly, signed a side letter on the very same day as the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement that provides that if the 2004 transfer by TPR of TRI Shares to Arie and the Orly Trust under the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 Transaction Documents were declared void, then Sagi, purportedly on behalf of TPR, would transfer the Arie Shares and Orly Trust Shares to the Trump Parties at a price per share 60% per share lower than the Sagi Trust was to receive under the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement, which would then be deemed a sale by TPR ("2008 Stock Purchase Side Letter Agreement", attached hereto as Exhibit G).
- 132. The terms of both the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement and the Side Letter violated the 2001 Stockholders Agreement, including, but not limited to Section 3.2 of the 2001 Stockholders Agreement.
- 133. The Trump Group defendants waived, and are estopped from asserting, any right under the 2001 Stockholders Agreement to purchase the Arie and Orly Trust TRI Shares from TPR.

- 134. Neither Arie on behalf of TRI or the Board of Directors of TRI approved the transaction set forth in the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement and Side Letter.
- Parties to get a majority interest in TRI for the first time, but was tantamount to a \$26.7 million bribe offered by the Trump Parties to Sagi, the estranged son of Arie, to betray his father and rob his sister of the true value of their respective legal and equitable interests in the TRI stock previously owned by TPR in order for the Trump Group to completely squeeze out Arie and the Orly Trust at unconscionably low prices.
- purportedly on behalf of TPR, executed the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement, the Trump Parties also knew that if the 2004 transactions were voided, TPR, Sagi, the Sagi Trust and the Trump Parties would all be unjustly enriched by the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement and Side Letter.
- 137. The Trump Parties also knew that if the 2004 TPR Transfers of TRI shares to Arie, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust were voided, that these TRI shares recovered by TPR would be the subject of a constructive trust established for the benefit of Arie, the Orly Trust and the Sagi Trust.
- 138. The Trump Parties, Sagi, and the Sagi Trust knew that Sagi, as an officer and director of TPR, owed a fiduciary duty to Arie, the Orly Trust, and the Sagi Trust, not to devalue or alienate Arie's and the Orly Trust's beneficial ownership of TPR insofar as TPR's ownership of the TRI shares was concerned, and not to strip Arie of his voting rights with respect to these TRI Shares.

- 139. The Trump Parties, Sagi and the Sagi Trust knew at the time they executed the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement that pursuant to the Court—Ordered Stipulation of Settlement, Arie had a contractual right to seek to reform the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement in order to give full effect to the intent of the parties with respect to his relinquishment of control in TPR in exchange for Arie's continuing control of 52.85% of TRI shares and outright ownership of 14% of TRI's stock, as a permitted transferee.
- 140. The Trump Parties, Sagi, and the Sagi Trust knew at the time they executed the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement and Side Letter that Arie was entitled to rescission for failure of consideration of that part of the Stipulation of Settlement that transferred his 51% of TPR to Dalia, in exchange for Dalia's agreement to have TPR concurrently transfer 14% of TRI's shares to Arie outright, and that Arie would maintain voting control, or 52.85% of TRI for the duration of his life.
- 141. In connection with the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement and in furtherance of the plan and scheme to wrest control of TRI from Arie's control, the Trump Parties aided and abetted the preparation of a sworn affidavit swearing that the Sagi Trust shares had been lost, when the Trump Parties knew that was not a true statement.
 - J. The Trump Parties Declare Themselves The Majority Owners Of TRL, and Commence An Action In The Delaware Chancery Court To Determine The Composition of TRP's Board of Directors
- 142. On August 25, 2008, only three days after the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement and 2008 Stock Purchase Side Letter Agreement were executed, the Trump Group asserted that it was in control of TRI through the acquisition of 1102.80 shares that were purportedly transferred to them by the Sagi Trust.

- 143. On August 25, 2008, the Trump Parties commenced a Chancery Court in rem summary proceeding in the State of Delaware pursuant to 8 Del.C § 225(a) to determine the composition of the Board of Directors of TRI ("The Delaware Action") and to declare, inter alia that:
 - i. the Trump Parties are the majority shareholders of TRI and have the right to vote all of its shares including the shares which were allegedly purchased pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement;
 - the 2004 transfers to Arie Genger, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust are void and continue to belong to TPR; and
 - iii. that the Putative Irrevocable Proxies do not apply to the shares transferred pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement.
- 144. Neither TPR, the Sagi Trust, nor the Orly Trust were a party to the Delaware Action, although TPR was given an opportunity to participate in that action, and refused to do so.
- 145. The Trump Group as interested Directors in control of TRI had a fiduciary and legal duty to authorize the appointment of separate, independent counsel for TRI in connection with the Delaware proceeding and otherwise with respect to determining the beneficial and record ownership of the Arie, Orly Trust and Sagi Trust TRI shares.

K. The July 23, 2010 Opinion of the Delaware Chancery Court

146. On July 23, 2010 the Delaware Chancery Court issued a lengthy written decision which held that the transfers of TPR's TRI shares to Arie, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 Transaction Documents were void and ownership reverted to TPR and that TPR's sale of the Sagi Trust TRI Shares to the Trump Group pursuant to the 2008 Stockholders Agreement was valid.

- 147. The Chancery Court also held that the Sagi Trust Errevocable Proxy was not valid under New York or Delaware law. The Chancery Court in this § 225 proceeding held that the Trump Group was entitled to elect a majority of TRI Board members.
- 148. While voiding the 2004 Transfers, however, the Chancery Court in this July 23 decision also held that because Arie was a "permitted transferee" under the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement, the transfer of the TRI shares to him did not warrant a forfeiture of Arie's TRI Shares.
- I49. Because Arie was a permitted transferee of the TRI shares owned by TPR (a Genger family holding company that Arie had created) the Trump Group would have no right to stop the transfer of these TPR TRI shares to Arie once it received contractual notice and Arie agreed to be personally bound by the TRI Stockholders Agreement.
- 150. Under the Delaware Chancery Court's July 23 decision, Arie would retain his TRI shares once he gave formal notice of TPR's 2004 transfer and agreed to be bound by the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement, which Arie promptly agreed to do shortly thereafter.
- 151. In its July 23, 2010 decision, the Court of Chancery also held that because neither Orly nor the Orly Trust were parties to the Delaware Chancery Court action, the Delaware Court declined to issue any ruling with respect to the Orly Trust TRI Shares.

L. The July 26, 2010 TRO in this New York Action

152. Based on the July 23, 2010 Chancery Court decision, Arie and Orly sought and obtained a temporary restraining order from this Court, restraining Sagi and TPR from selling or encumbering the Arie and Orly Trust TRI shares. This temporary restraining order was granted on July 26, 2010 and extended by this Court on July 28, 2010.

M. The August Opinion of the Delaware Chancery Court

- 153. After being advised by the Trump Group of plaintiff being granted a TRO in this New York Action, the Delaware Chancery Court indicated that it would reexamine its rulings with regard to the Arie and Orly Trust TRI shares, and expressed concern about whether the New York TRO was designed to undermine its ruling in the Delaware Court, which it was not.
- 154. On August 3, 2010, to allay the Court of Chancery's concerns, Arie's counsel in this case wrote to this Court advising that because defendants Sagi and TPR had agreed to ahide by a status quo order entered by the Delaware Chancery Court, which provided that Arie would be given notice in the event TPR or Sagi intended to sell the Arie and Orly TRI Shares to the Trump Group, it was agreed among counsel for TPR, Sagi, Dalia and the plaintiffs, that the temporary restraining order would be vacated and plaintiffs in this action would accordingly withdraw their application for a preliminary injunction, without prejudice.
- determination earlier relating to the Arie and Orly Trust TRI Shares. In this second opinion, the Delaware Chancery reversed itself regarding the ownership of the Arie and Orly Trust Shares, and held that the Trump Group had the right to purchase the Arie and Orly Trust TRI shares from TPR under the 2008 Side Letter Agreement, even though the Court had held only two weeks earlier that Arie was a permitted transferee under the Stockholders Agreement and should be permitted to keep his shares, and that the Orly Trust was never a party to the § 225 proceeding. The Chancery Court also held that Arie and the Orly Trust had no beneficial interest in the Arie and Orly Trust TRI shares—even though TPR, Sagi, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust were never parties to the Delaware § 225 proceeding.

- N. The Delaware Chancery Court's Final Judgment Order of August 18, 2010
- Order which found (i) that TPR's 2004 transfers of the Arie TRI Shares, and the Orly Trust TRI shares were void under the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement, (ii) that title to the Sagi Trust TRI Shares, the Orly Trust TRI Shares and the Arie TRI Shares, all reverted to TPR; (iii) that the Irrevocable Proxy for the Sagi Trust was not valid; (iv) that the sale of the Sagi Trust TRI shares by Sagi on behalf of TPR was valid; (v) that the Side Letter was a valid agreement; and (vi) that Arie and the Orly Trust had no beneficial or legal ownership interest in the Arie and Orly Trust TRI shares.
- 157. There was no discussion or finding in the Chancery Court Decision as to whether Sagi was authorized to sell the Arie, Orly and Sagi Trust TRI Shares out of TPR.
- injunction, which prohibited Arie from "commenc[ing] or prosecut[ing] any legal proceeding . . . in any [state] court [including the action in this Court which had already been commenced] constituting a collateral attack on, attempt to prevent implementation of, or relitigation of any of the [Chancery] Court's holdings set out in paragraphs 2 through 16 inclusive (summarized above), of this final judgment order" pending a determination of an appeal to the Delaware Supreme Court, with certain limited exceptions, but even the Chancery Court specifically recognized Arie's right to apply to this Court to seek to escrow the proceeds from TPR's impending sale of the Arie TRI Shares to the Trump Group, as follows:

"Arie Genger shall have the right to seek an order from a court of competent jurisdiction requiring TPR Investment Associates, Inc. and its officers and directors to place in escrow the proceeds of sale of the shares of TRI referenced in paragraph 14 of this Order. [the "Arie TRI Shares"]."

O. Arie Appeals From the Chancery Court's Final Judgment Order

- 159. Arie timely appealed from the Chancery Court's rulings, arguing, inter alia, that
 (i) the Trumps ratified the 2004 transfers, as a matter of law; (ii) the Irrevocable Proxy was valid
 under New York law; and (iii) the Delaware Chancery Court had no jurisdiction to determine the
 ownership of the Arie and Orly TRI shares or the validity of the Side Letter.
- 160. Under threat of contempt, Arie agreed to stay this case pending a final determination by the Delaware Supreme Court.

P. The Purported Sale by TPR of the Arie and Orly Trust TRI Shares

- 161. While Arie's appeal was pending, Arie was informed that TPR, without Arie's consent or approval, intended to sell the Arie TRI shares to the Trump Group for \$7,424,994.
- 162. While the Trump Group and TPR agreed to hold \$5,924,944 of these sale proceeds in escrow pending a determination by the Delaware Supreme Court relative to the ownership of the Arie and Orly Trust TRI shares, Sagi, as the CEO of TPR, insisted that TPR was entitled to keep and spend the \$1.5 million of the proceeds from the sale of the Arie TRI shares, notwithstanding the pending appeal challenging the Chancery Court's rulings relating to the ownership of the Arie and Orly Trust TRI Shares.
- 163. While the appeal to the Delaware Supreme Court was pending, TPR, without the consent or approval of Arie or Orly, also entered into an agreement to sell the Orly Trust TRI Shares to the Trump Group for \$10,314,005, with the proceed to be held in escrow by counsel for Dalia as the nominal trustee of the Orly Trust.
- 164. The Trump Group as interested Directors in control of TRI had a fiduciary and legal duty to authorize the appointment of separate, independent counsel for TRI in connection with the Delaware proceeding and otherwise with respect to determining the beneficial and

record ownership of the Arie, Orly Trust and Sagi Trust TRI shares prior to TRI recording the transfer of the Arie and Orly Trust Shares to the Trump Group in 2010.

- 165. Neither Sagi nor TPR had any authority to sell the Arie or Orly Trust Shares to the Trump Group.
 - Q. This Court Enjoins TPR and Sagi From Taking or Using the \$1.5 million
 Sale Proceeds From the Purported TPR Sale of the Arie Shares to the Trump
 Group
- 166. Arie moved by Order to Show Cause to restrain and enjoin Sagi and TPR from using or disposing of the \$1.5 million sale proceeds that were about to be released to TPR, and requested that these specifically identified funds be placed in an acceptable escrow account or paid into Court. This Court by its February 17, 2011 Decision and Order decreed as follows:

"ORDERED that the defendants Sagi Genger and TPR Investment Associates, Inc., and their agents, servants, employees and all other persons acting under the jurisdiction, supervision and/or direction of the defendants, are enjoined and restrained, during the pendency of this action, from doing or suffering to be done, directly or through any attorney, agent, servant, or employee or other person under the supervision or control of the defendants, from taking, using, or spending or converting to their own use otherwise, the \$1.5 million proceeds from the sale of certain common stock in Trans-Resources, Inc. in which plaintiff claims an interest"

R. The Decision of the Delaware Supreme Court

- 167. On July 18, 2011, the Delaware Supreme Court reversed that part of the Delaware Chancery Court's Judgment that held that Arie and the Orly Trust were not the beneficial owners of the Arie and Orly Trust TRI Shares.
- 168. On Arie's appeal the Delaware Supreme Court described the limited nature of the §225 proceeding as follows:

A Section 225 proceeding is not an *in-personam* action. Rather, it is "in the nature of an *in rem* proceeding," where the

"defendants" are before the court, not individually, but rather, as respondents being invited to litigate their claims to the res (here, the disputed corporate office) or forever barred from doing so.

* * *

[For example] in a Section 225 proceeding the court "cannot go further and actually rescind a transaction procured through such unlawful behavior or award money damages to those harmed by that behavior." That type of ultimate relief can only be obtained in a plenary action in a court that has in personam jurisdiction over any necessary or indispensable parties.

169. Further explaining the limited holding of the Delaware Chancery Court, the Delaware Supreme Court held:

Given the jurisdictional limitations that inhere in a Section 225 action, we affirm the judgment of the Court of Chancery insofar as it determines the *record* [emphasis in original] ownership of the disputed Trans-Resources shares in the Side Letter Opinion and the Final Judgment Order.

* * *

An adjudication of who has the right to vote disputed corporate shares for Section 225 purposes cannot constitute a binding adjudication of who beneficially owns those shares, because a Section 225 action is by its nature an in rem, not a plenary, proceeding. Only in a plenary proceeding before a court that has in personam jurisdiction over the litigants may the court adjudicate the litigants' property interest in disputed corporate shares.

(Emphasis added)

170. The Delaware Supreme Court also held that the issue of ultimate beneficial ownership of the Arie TRI Shares and the Orly Trust TRI Shares was beyond the equity jurisdiction of the Chancery Court in the summary § 225 in rem proceeding, and that the issue of the beneficial ownership of those shares needed to be adjudicated in a plenary action in a jurisdiction where the Court has in personam jurisdiction over all indispensible parties. These

necessary parties -- Arie, TPR, Sagi, Orly, the Orly Trust and the Trump Group -- are all parties to this New York action, and have been served and have appeared in this case.

- 171. The Delaware Chancery Court's holdings were limited to an in rem proceeding to determine who held the record ownership of the shares at a point in time in order to determine voting rights to elect members of the TRI Board based on the record owners of those shares at the time of the election.
- 172. The Delaware Chancery Court was without jurisdiction to determine the ultimate ownership of the Arie and Orly Trust TRI shares or any of the tort claims asserted by Plaintiffs in this action. Nor did the Delaware Chancery Court have jurisdiction to determine Arie's claims for rescission, unjust enrichment, constructive trust or reformation arising from and in connection with the Court-ordered Stipulation of Settlement, the 2004 Transaction Documents, or the authority of Sagi to act on behalf of TPR.
- 173. The Delaware Supreme Court affirmed that portion of the Chancery Court ruling that held that TPR's 2004 transfers of TRI shares pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement in the Genger divorce and implementing 2004 TPR Transaction Documents violated the 2001 TRI Stockholders Agreement and were void ab initio, for the purpose of the Delaware § 225 proceeding to determine composition of the TRI Board.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF ARIE GENGER

(For a Declaratory Judgment Under CPLR 3001 that the Stipulation of Settlement Entitles Plaintiff Aric to a Court-Ordered Reformation of the Terms of the Stipulation of Settlement as Against All Defendants)

174. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 173.

- 175. Article XVI of the Stipulation of Settlement provides that the parties to the Stipulation of Settlement have a right to seek court-ordered reformation in a New York court in order to reflect the parties' original intent in the event a portion of the "So-Ordered" Stipulation of Settlement is held to be invalid for any reason.
- 176. The concurrent transfer of Arie's ownership of his 51% interest in TPR in consideration of receiving a 14% interest in TRI and the right to control the voting rights of 52.85% of TRI Shares for the duration of Arie's life was an essential, fundamental condition of the transfers of TPR and TRI shares set forth in the Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement.
- 177. The Delaware Chancery Court, as affirmed by the Delaware Supreme Court, held that TPR's 2004 transfers of 52.85% of the shares of TRI Stock under the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 TPR Transfer Documents were invalid and void *ab initio*, and that the Putative Irrevocable Proxies were invalid.
- 178. The provisions of the Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement relating to the transfer of ownership of TPR in consideration of the 2004 Transfers were based upon the mutual mistake of Dalia and Arie that the 2004 Transfers and Irrevocable Proxies were valid.
- 179. The Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement specifically provides that the parties agree that if any of the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement is declared invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction for any reason, Arie is entitled to seek an Order from this Court to reform the Stipulation of Settlement, or obtain such other equitable or legal relief as is necessary to give full meaning and expression to the original intent of the parties to the Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement.

- 180. Arie is entitled to an Order of the New York Supreme Court to reform the terms of the Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement to reflect the parties' original intent to the greatest extent possible.
- 181. Accordingly, Arie seeks an Order and declaratory judgment to reform the Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement to provide as follows:
- (i) That the transfer by Arie of his 51% interest in TPR to Dalia and the concurrent transfer of the 3000 shares of TRI stock to Arie, the Otly Trust and the Sagi Trust, respectively, as originally set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement is voided ab initio as a result of the Delaware Court finding that the 2004 Transfers are invalid;
- (ii) that all the assets of TPR, other than the 3000 Arie, Orly Trust and SagiTrust TRI shares, remain the property of TPR or any successor in interest to those assets;
- (iii) that pursuant to a further order of this Court the Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement is modified *ab initio* to provide that the 3000 shares of TRI common stock that were returned to TPR as a result of the Delaware Court Action voiding the original 2004

 Transfers be placed in a newly formed single purpose entity controlled by Arie ("TPR2");
- (iv) that Arie be declared the 51% owner of TPR2, which in turn shall be declared, ab initio the record and beneficial owner of all of such 3000 TRI shares, as though no 2004 Transfers were consummated under the original terms of the Stipulation of Settlement;
- (v) that the Orly Trust and Sagi Trust each be declared the owner of 24.5% of
 TPR2 so that together they own the remaining 49% of TPR2;

- (vi) that TPR2 shall not sell or transfer the 3000 TRI shares prior to Arie's death, unless such sale would be otherwise permitted and would not violate the terms of the 2001 Stockholders Agreement including, but not limited to, Article 2 of such agreement;
- (vii) upon Arie's death, 1102.8 of TRI shares, plus any stock dividends relating thereto ("the Sagi Trust TRI Shares") will be transferred by TPR2 outright to the Sagi Trust, and 1102.8 of TRI shares plus any stock dividends relating thereto ("the Orly Trust TRI Shares") will be transferred outright to the Orly Trust;
 - (viii) All cash dividends relating to the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust TRI

 Shares received by TPR2 during Arie's lifetime will be distributed, after payment of
 taxes and expenses to the Shareholders of TPR2, as if (a) Arie owned 794.40 shares,
 representing 13.99468% of the common stock of TRI, and (b) the Sagi Trust and the Orly
 Trust each owned 1102.8 shares, representing 19.42766% of TRI common stock;
- (ix) Arie through his 51% control of TPR2 will retain all voting rights to the 3000 TRI shares and any additional TRI shares issued to TPR or TPR2 as stock dividends or otherwise for the duration of his life.
- (x) The Trump Group shall deliver to TPR2 the 1102.8 shares of TRI common stock purportedly purchased under the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement.
- 182. The \$26,715,416.00 paid by the Trump Group to the Sagi Trust under the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement will be returned to the Trump Group by TPR and the Sagi Trust.
- 183. The Trump Group shall deliver to TPR2 the 794.40 Aric and the 1102.8 Orly Trust TRI shares purportedly sold to TPR under the Side Letter Agreement and 2010 Transaction.

- 184. The purported sales by TPR of the Arie and Orly Trust TRI shares to The Trump Group, while the Delaware Supreme Court appeal was pending, will be declared null and void, and the proceeds from these purported sales now held in escrow will be released to The Trump Group.
- 185. TRI shall record on its books that TPR2 is the record owner of 3000 shares of TRI Common Stock, representing 52.85% of the issued common stock of TRI.
 - 186. TPR2 will consent to the terms of the 2001 Stockholders Agreement.
- 187. Arie, Orly, Dalia, Sagi, TPR and TRI shall be directed to take all other necessary action and to execute all documents necessary to give full meaning to the intention of the parties to the Court Ordered Stipulation of Settlement in light of the ruling of the Delaware court that the 2004 Transfers were invalid.
 - 188. There is a justiciable controversy.
 - 189. There is no adequate remedy at law.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF ARIE AND ORLY

(For the Imposition of a Constructive Trust against Sagi, TPR, Dalia, the Sagi Trust, Fang. and the Trump Parties)

- 190. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 189, and incorporate the allegations contained in paragraphs 209-219.
- 191. Upon the Delaware Court voiding TPR's 2004 transfer of 52.85% of TRI shares to Arie, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust, legal title to such TRI shares reverted to TPR subject to Arie's rights as a constructive beneficial owner of 51% of the shares of TPR with respect to TPR's ownership of 52.85% of TRI shares.

- 192. TPR is not entitled, in equity or good conscience, to retain any direct or indirect benefit resulting from the record ownership of the 3000 shares of TRI stock reverting to TPR as a result of the ruling of the Delaware courts.
- 193. TPR would be unjustly enriched at the expense of Arie if it were permitted to retain any direct or indirect ownership or benefit from the sale of the 3000 shares of TRI stock because record ownership of such TRI shares reverted to TPR as a result of the Delaware court rulings.
- 194. Upon TPR becoming the record owner of Arie's 794.4 shares of TRI stock as a result of the Delaware Court rulings, such shares were immediately held in a constructive trust by TPR for the benefit of Arie as the beneficial owner of those shares.
- 195. Upon TPR becoming the record owner of the 1102.8 shares of TRI stock comprising the Orly Trust TRI shares, a constructive trust was immediately created in favor of Arie with respect to the Orly Trust TRI Shares, including, but not limited to, the voting rights appurtenant to such shares, all of which were held by TPR for the benefit of Arie, subject to the Orly Trust's right to receive the Orly Trust TRI Shares upon Arie's death.
- 196. Upon TPR becoming the record owner of the 1102.8 shares of TRI stock comprising the Sagi Trust TRI shares, a constructive trust was immediately created in favor of Arie with respect to the Sagi Trust TRI Shares, including, but not limited to, the voting rights appurtenant to such shares, all of which were held by TPR for the benefit of Arie, subject to the Sagi Trust's right to receive the Sagi Trust TRI Shares upon Arie's death.

- 197. Upon TPR becoming the record owner of all 3000 shares of TRI stock comprising the Orly Trust Shares, the Sagi Trust Shares and the Arie Shares, TPR held the voting rights to such 3000 shares in a constructive trust for the benefit of Arie.
- 198. Arie's beneficial ownership and voting rights with respect to the 3000 TRI shares, which were held by TPR in a constructive trust for his benefit, were protected from further transfer to The Trump Group from the moment record title reverted to TPR.
- 199. TPR was not authorized to transfer any of the 3000 TRI shares as to which it became the record owner, without the consent of Arie as the beneficial owner of such TRI Shares.
- 200. TPR is not authorized to vote any of the 3000 TRI shares that reverted to TPR as record owner as a result of the Delaware Court rulings, except at the direction of Arie.
- 201. The Trump Group are not bona fide purchasers of any of the 3000 TRI shares that reverted to TPR.
- 202. The Trump Group was on notice of Arie's ownership and voting right claims with respect to the 3000 TRI shares that reverted to TPR, as record owners.
- 203. The Trump Group knew and were on notice that TPR was not authorized to sell TPR's TRI shares without the prior consent of Arie.
- 204. The Trump Group knew and was on notice that Arie objected to the sale to The Trump Group of any of the 3000 TRI shares that reverted to TPR, as record owner under the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement, the Side Letter Agreement or the 2010 purported sale of the Arie and Orly Trust TRI shares.

- 205. The Constructive Trust in favor of Arie imposed upon the Arie, the Orly Trust and the Sagi Trust TRI shares existed at the moment record ownership reverted to TPR, and attaches to any purported sale of these shares to The Trump Group, who were not bona fide purchasers.
- 206. The Trump Group, in addition to TPR, would be unjustly enriched if they were permitted to keep the benefit of the purported sale by TPR of the 3000 TRI shares to The Trump Group, which sale Sagi was not authorized to enter into on behalf of TPR without the prior consent of Arie because, inter alia,
- (i) the Trump Group would become the owner of the Arie, Sagi Trust and Orly Trust Shares, over the objection of Arie and without Arie's required prior permission and consent;
- (ii) the Trump Group would obtain Arie's right to vote these shares for the duration of his lifetime, without Arie's required prior permission and consent; and
- (iii) these shares would be acquired substantially below their true value without Arie's required prior permission and consent;
 - (iv) the purported transaction by TPR was in derogation of Arie's beneficial ownership and voting rights appurtenant to such shares; and
 - (v) the Trump Group are not bona fide purchasers of such shares.
 - 207. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF ARIE AND ORLY

(Claim for Breach of Fiduciary Duty, Aiding and Abetting Breach of Fiduciary Duty and Conspiracy to Breach Fiduciary Duty Against Sagi, TPR, the Sagi Trust, Fang, the Trump Parties and TRI)

- 208. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations in paragraphs I through 207.
- Sagi, individually and as an officer of TPR, owed a fiduciary duty of trust, 209. confidence and loyalty to Arie and the Orly Trust because, among other things, (i) he was entrusted to manage TPR's ownership of the TRI shares for the benefit of Arie and the Orly Trust upon the Chancery Court voiding the 2004 TPR transfers of TRI shares to Arie, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust, and the resulting ownership of such 52.85 % of TRI shares by TPR; (ii) he was entrusted to manage TPR's record ownership of the TRI shares for the benefit of Arie and the Orly Trust upon the Delaware Chancery Court voiding the 2004 TPR transfer of TRI shares to Arie, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust; (iii) pursuant to the 2004 TPR Transfer Agreement, Sagi was entrusted to take all actions necessary to ensure that Arie would maintain voting control of 52.85% of the stock of TRI in accordance with the express intent of all parties to the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 TPR Transaction Documents; (iv) through Fang and the Sagi Trust, as his co-agents and alter egos, Sagi owed a duty of trust and confidence to Arie under the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement; and (v) as the successor to the rights and liabilities of Dalia under the Stipulation of Settlement and the manager of TPR, as a family holding company, Sagi owed a fiduciary duty to protect the assets of the Orly Trust for the benefit of his sister Orly and his father, Arie, in connection with the ownership of TPR, TPR's ownership of the TRI shares and D&K's minority ownership of TPR.

- 210. As a fiduciary Sagi, individually, and as an officer of TPR, owed a duty of fidelity and undivided loyalty to Arie, Orly, and the Orly Trust regarding the TRI shares.
- 211. Fang, individually and as the trustee of the Sagi Trust, owed a fiduciary duty to Arie under the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement and Side Letter.
- 212. The Trump Group, on becoming the majority shareholder of record in TRI, owed a fiduciary duty of fidelity and toyalty to Aric as the known beneficial owner of record of the Aric TRI shares.
- 213. Jules Trump and Mark Hirsch, each individually and on behalf of the Trump

 Group, and as Directors of TRI owed a fiduciary duty to TPR, and Arie as the beneficial owner of TRI shares to read TRI corporate documents presented to him in their entirety and have knowledge of the shareholders of record of TRI, a close corporation.
- 214. Sagi, TPR, the Sagi Trust, Fang, the Trump Parties each breached their respective fiduciary duties as a result of the conduct set forth in the allegations of this complaint, including, but not limited to their respective conduct in connection with the negotiation, execution and implementation of the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement and the Side Letters and the subsequent transfer of the Arie and Orly Trust TRI Shares.
- 215. Sagi, TPR, the Sagi Trust, Fang, and the Trump Parties also knowingly aided, abetted, induced, participated in, enabled and substantially assisted each other to breach each of the other's respective fiduciary duties to Arie, Orly and the Orly Trust by the conduct alleged herein, including but not limited to misrepresenting to the Delaware Chancery Court that the share certificates for the Sagi Trust TRI shares were lost despite having actual knowledge that

such share certificates were held by Arie, and had not been delivered to the Sagi Trust, Sagi or Fang.

- 216. TRI also knowingly aided, abetted, induced, participated in, enabled and substantially assisted the respective breaches of fiduciary duties owed to Arie, Orly and the Orly Trust by Sagi, TPR, the Sagi Trust, Fang and the Trump Parties.
- 217. Sagi, TPR, the Sagi Trust, Fang, and the Trump Parties, each acting in conspiracy with, and as the co-agent of one another, entered into an illegal scheme, agreement, plan, and artifice to breach each other's respective fiduciary duties owed to Arie, Orly, and the Orly Trust by the conduct alleged herein in furtherance of this conspiracy.
- 218. As a result of such breaches of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting of breaches of fiduciary duties and conspiracies to breach fiduciary duty, by Sagi, TPR, the Sagi Trust, Fang, the Trump Parties and TRI, and each of them, Arie suffered compensatory damages in an amount to be determined.
- 219. As a result of such breaches of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting of breaches of fiduciary duty and conspiracies to breach fiduciary duty, by Sagi, TPR, the Sagi Trust, Fang, the Trump Parties and TRI, and each of them, Orly, individually and as the beneficiary of the Orly Trust, suffered compensatory damages in an amount to be determined.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF ARIE AND ORLY

(Claim for Unjust Enrichment and Rescission Against Sagi, TPR, Dalia, the Sagi Trust, Fang, and the Trump Parties)

- 220. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 219.
- 221. As a result of the Delaware Chancery Court holding, Dalia, TPR, Sagi, the Sagi
 Trust and the Trump Parties would each be unjustly enriched at the expense of Arie and the Orly

Trust if each or any of them were allowed to retain any direct or indirect benefit or consideration received by each of them from TPR's ownership of TRI Shares, which none of them are entitled, in equity or good conscience, to retain.

- 222. Plaintiff Arie is entitled to rescind the transfer of his 51% interest in TPR to Dalia pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 Transaction Documents based on failure of consideration because, as a result of the holding by the Delaware Chancery Court, Arie did not receive any of the consideration that was due to him pursuant to such Agreements, including and not limited to (a) his 14% interest in the Arie TRI Shares, and his right to vote 52.85% of the TRI Shares that he controlled prior to the Delaware Court voiding the 2004 Transfers.
- 223. Plaintiff the Orly Trust, is entitled to rescind the 2004 Transaction Documents based on failure of consideration because as a result of the holding by the Delaware Court the Orly Trust did not receive any of the consideration due to the Orly Trust pursuant to the 2004 Transfers.
- 224. Arie and the Orly Trust are each also entitled to rescission because of failure of consideration based on mutual mistake as to the enforceability of the 2004 TPR Transfers of TRI stock to Arie, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement and the 2004 Transaction Documents.
 - 225. Arie and the Orly Trust are each entitled to an accounting.
 - 226. Arie and the Orly Trust have no adequate remedy at law.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Claim for Permanent Injunction Against Sagi, TPR, Dalia, the Sagi Trust, Fung and the Trump Parties)

227. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 226.

- 228. Plaintiffs are entitled to the issuance of a permanent injunction enjoining and restraining Sagi, TPR, Dalia, the Sagi Trust, Fang and the Trump Parties, and each of them, from directly or indirectly transferring, selling, acquiring, pledging, assigning, diluting, voting, valuing, replacing, conveying, using, removing from the jurisdiction, or otherwise disposing of, or encumbering the 3000 shares of TRI stock that reverted to TPR's control as a result of the Delaware Court rulings.
- 229. The failure to grant such permanent injunction will result in irreparable injury to the Plaintiffs.
 - 230. There is no adequate remedy at law.
 - 231. The balance of equities favor the Plaintiffs.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Claim for Breach of Contract against TPR on Behalf of Arie and Orly)

- 232. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 231.
- 233. TPR entered into the 2004 TPR Transfer Agreement with Arie.
- 234. TPR entered into the 2004 TPR Transfer Agreement with the Orly Trust.
- 235. TPR breached its express and implied covenants and representations thereunder in connection with the transfer of TRI shares to Arie.
- 236. TPR breached its express and implied covenants and representations thereunder by purporting to agree to transfer the Arie, Sagi Trust and Orly Trust TRI Shares to the Trump Parties.
- 237. Arie and the Orly Trust performed their respective obligations under the 2004 TPR Transfer Agreement.

- 238. As a result of such breach of contract, Arie lost the benefit of his bargain and suffered damages in an amount yet to be determined.
- 239. TPR breached its express and implied covenants and representations under the 2004 Transaction Documents in connection with the transfer of TRI shares to the Orly Trust.
- 240. The Orly Trust performed its obligations under the 2004 TPR Transfer Agreement.
- 241. As a result of such breach of contract, the Orly Trust lost the benefit of its bargain and suffered damages in an amount yet to be determined, but believed to be in an amount to be determined.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF ARIE

(Claim for Breach of Contract against the Sagi Trust)

- 242. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 241.
- 243. The Sagi Trust entered into the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement with Arie.
- 244. The Sagi Trust breached its express and implied covenants and representations thereunder in connection with Arie's voting rights and control of TRI shares.
 - 245. Arie performed his obligations under the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement.
- 246. As a result of such breach of contract, Arie lost the benefit of his bargain and suffered damages in an amount yet to be determined.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF ARIE AND ORLY

(Claim for Tortious Interference with Contract Against the Trump Parties)

247. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 246.

- 248. The Trump Parties, and each of them, tortiously interfered with, among other things, (i) the Stipulation of Settlement between Arie and Dalia, (ii) the 2004 TPR Transfer Documents between Arie and the Orly Trust and TPR, (iii) the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement between Arie and the Sagi Trust, and (iv) the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement between Arie and the Orly Trust.
- 249. The Trump Parties, and each of them, intentionally caused such breaches of contract without justification, as a result of the conduct set forth herein.
- 250. As a result of the Trump Parties' tortious interference with contract, Arie suffered damages in an amount yet to be determined.
- 251. As a result of the Trump Parties' tortious interference with contract, Orly and the Orly Trust suffered damages in an amount yet to be determined.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF ARIE AND ORLY

(Claim for Aiding and Abetting Tortious Interference with Contract Against Sagi, Fang, and the Sagi Trust)

- 252. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 251.
- 253. Sagi, Fang, and the Sagi Trust each had knowledge of the Trump Parties' tortious interference with the 2004 TPR Transaction Agreement and the Stipulation of Settlement, and each such defendant caused, encouraged and substantially assisted the Trump Parties in the commission of the foregoing acts of tortious interference with 2004 TPR Transaction Agreement and the Stipulation of Settlement.
- 254. Sagi, Fang, and TPR each had knowledge of the Trump Parties' tortious interference with the 2004 Voting Trust Agreement, and the Stipulation of Settlement, and each such defendant caused, encouraged and substantially assisted the Trump Parties in the

commission of the foregoing acts of tortious interference with the 2004 Voting Trust Agreements.

- 255. By reason of the foregoing, Arie has been damaged in an amount to be determined.
- 256. By reason of the foregoing, Orly, individually and as the beneficiary of the Orly Trust has been damaged in an amount to be determined.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF ARIE AND ORLY

(Claim for Preliminary Injunction Against the Trump Parties, Sagi Genger TPR and the Sagi Trust Under CPLR 7109)

- 257. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 256.
- 258. The Arie and Orly Trust TRI shares are unique chattel.
- 259. By virtue of the facts set forth above, Arie is the beneficial owner of the Arie TRI shares.
- 260. Sagi is not authorized to take any action on behalf of TPR to sell, transfer, pledge, dilute, or take any action on behalf of TPR relating to or concerning the 3000 TRI shares that reverted to TPR, without the prior written consent of Arie and Sagi is not authorized to exercise any and all rights, including TPR's voting rights with respect to such 3000 TRI shares, except as so directed by Arie as the beneficial owner of such shares and the voting rights appurtenant thereto.
- 261. Sagi is not authorized to take any action on behalf of TPR to sell, transfer, pledge, dilute, or take any action on behalf of TPR relating to or concerning the Orly Trust TRI shares.
- 262. By virtue of the facts set forth above, the Orly Trust is entitled to the benefits provided to it under the Stipulation of Settlement.

- 263. The Arie TRI shares, the Orly Trust TRI shares, and the Sagi Trust shares are wrongfully being held by the Trump Parties.
- 264. Plaintiffs are entitled to a preliminary injunction, under CPLR 7109, that the Arie TRI shares, the Orly Trust TRI shares, and the Sagi Trust TRI shares shall be restrained and enjoined from transferring, selling, diluting, pledging, assigning or otherwise disposing of or removing such shares from the State until the further order of the Court.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand Judgment in favor of Plaintiffs against the Defendants as follows:

- (a) on the First Cause of Action against all Defendants: that this Court find and declare that Arie is entitled to an Order from this Court to reform the terms of the Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement to provide as follows:
- (i) that the transfer by Arie of his 51% interest in TPR to Dalia and the concurrent transfer of the 3000 shares of TRI stock to Arie, the Orly Trust and the Sagi Trust, respectively, as originally set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement is voided ab initio as a result of the Delaware Court finding that the 2004 Transfers are invalid;
- (ii) that all the assets of TPR, other than the 3000 Arie, Orly Trust and Sagi Trust
 TRI shares, remain the property of TPR or any successor in interest to those assets;
- (iii) that pursuant to a further order of this Court the Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement is modified *ab initio* to provide that the 3000 shares of TRI common stock that were returned to TPR as a result of the Delaware Court Action voiding the original 2004 Transfers be placed in a newly formed single purpose entity controlled by Arie (hereinafter, "TPR2");

- (iv) that Arie be declared the 51% owner of TPR2 which in turn shall be declared, ab initio, the record and beneficial owner of all such 3000 TRI shares, as though no 2004

 Transfers were consummated under the original terms of the Stipulation of Settlement;
- (v) that the Orly Trust and Sagi Trust each be declared the owner of 24.5% of TPR2 so that together they own the remaining 49% of TPR2;
- (vi) that TPR2 shall not sell or transfer the 3000 TRI shares prior to Arie's death, unless such sale would otherwise be permitted and would not violate the terms of the 2001 Stockholders Agreement, including, but not limited to, Article 2 of such Agreement;
- (vii) upon Arie's death, 1102.8 of TRI shares, plus any stock dividends relating thereto ("the Sagi Trust TRI shares") will be transferred by TPR2 outright to the Sagi Trust, and 1102.8 of TRI shares, plus any stock dividends relating thereto ("the Orly Trust TRI Shares") will be transferred outright to the Orly Trust;
- (viii) all cash dividends relating to the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust TRI Shares received by TPR2 during Arie's lifetime will be distributed, after payment of taxes and expenses to the Shareholders of TPR2, as if (a) Arie owned 794.40 shares, representing 13.99468% of the common stock of TRI, and (b) the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust each owned 1102.8 shares, representing 19.42766% of TRI common stock;
- (ix) Arie through his 51% control of TPR2 will retain all voting rights to the 3000 TRI shares and any additional TRI shares issued to TPR or TPR2 as stock dividends or otherwise for the duration of his life.
- (x) The Trump Group shall deliver to TPR2 the 1102.8 shares of TRI common stock purportedly purchased under the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement.

- (xi) The \$26,715,416.00 paid by the Trump Group to the Sagi Trust under the 2008 Stock Purchase Agreement will be returned to the Trump Group by TPR and the Sagi Trust.
- (xii) The Trump Group shall deliver to TPR2 the 794.40 Arie and the 1102.8 Orly Trust TRI shares purportedly sold to TPR under the Side Letter Agreement and 2010 Transaction.
- (xiii) The purported sales by TPR of the Arie and Orly Trust TRI Shares to the Trump Group, while the Delaware Supreme Court appeal was pending, will be declared null and void and the proceeds from these purported sales now held in escrow will be released to the Trump Group.
- (xiv) TRI shall record on its books that TPR2 is the record owner of 3000 shares of TRI Common Stock, representing 52.85% of the issued common stock of TRI.
 - (xv) TPR2 will consent to the terms of the 2001 Stockholders Agreement.
- (xvi) Arie, Orly, Dalia, Sagi, TPR and TRI shall be directed to take all other necessary action and to execute all documents necessary to give full meaning to the intention of the parties to the Court-Ordered Stipulation of Settlement in light of the rulings of the Delaware Court that the 2004 Transfers were invalid.
- (xvii) Any other declaration as the Court may deem fair and reasonable to give effect to the Original Intent of the parties to the Stipulation of Settlement.
- (b) on the Second Cause of Action against Defendants Sagi, TPR, Dalia, the Sagi
 Trust, Fang and the Trump Parties, that the Court find and declare that:

- (i) upon the Delaware Court voiding TPR's 2004 transfer of 52.85% of TRI shares to Arie, the Sagi Trust and the Orly Trust, legal title to such TRI shares reverted to TPR subject to Arie's rights as a constructive beneficial owner of 51% of the shares of TPR with respect to TPR's ownership of 52.85% of TRI shares;
- (ii) upon TPR becoming the record owner of Arie's 794.4 shares of TRI stock as a result of the Delaware Court rulings, such shares were immediately held in a constructive trust by TPR for the benefit of Arie as the beneficial owner of those shares;
- (iii) upon TPR becoming the record owner of the 1102.8 shares of TRI stock comprising the Orly Trust TRI shares, a constructive trust was immediately created in favor of Arie with respect to the Orly Trust TRI Shares, including, but not limited to, the voting rights appurtenant to such shares, all of which were held by TPR for the benefit of Arie, subject to the Orly Trust's right to receive the Orly Trust TRI Shares upon Arie's death;
- (iv) upon TPR becoming the record owner of the 1102.8 shares of TRI stock comprising the Sagi Trust TRI shares, a constructive trust was immediately created in favor of Arie with respect to the Sagi Trust TRI Shares, including, but not limited to, the voting rights appurtenant to such shares, all of which were held by TPR for the benefit of Arie, subject to the Sagi Trust's right to receive the Sagi Trust TRI Shares upon Arie's death;
- (v) upon TPR becoming the record owner of all 3000 shares of TRI stock comprising the Orly Trust Shares, the Sagi Shares and the Arie Shares, TPR held the voting rights to such 3000 shares in a constructive trust for the benefit of Arie;

- (vi) TPR was not authorized to transfer any of the 3000 TRI shares as to which it became the record owner, without the consent of Arie as the beneficial owner of such TRI Shares;
- (vii) TPR is not authorized to vote any of the 3000 TRI shares that reverted to TPR as record owner as a result of the Delaware Court rulings, except at the direction of Arie;
- (viii) The Constructive Trust in favor of Arie imposed upon Arie, the Orly Trust and the Sagi Trust TRI shares existed at the moment record ownership reverted to TPR, and attaches to any purported sale of these shares to the Trump Group, who were not and are not bona fide purchasers of any of the 3000 TRI shares that reverted to TPR.
- (c) on the Third Cause of Action against Defendants Sagi, TPR, the Sagi Trust, Fang, the Trump Parties and TRI, jointly and severally, as follows:
- (i) awarding compensatory damages to the Plaintiff Arie in an amount to be determined, plus an additional award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined, plus Plaintiff's attorneys fees and costs incurred in connection with this action;
- (ii) awarding compensatory damages to the Plaintiff Orly Genger individually and as the beneficiary of the Orly Trust in an amount to be determined, plus an additional award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined, plus Plaintiff's attorneys fees and costs incurred in connection with this action;
- (d) on the Fourth Cause of Action against Defendants Sagi, TPR, Dalia, the Sagi Trust, Fang, and the Trump Parties:
- (i) rescinding Plaintiff Arie's transfer of his 51% interest in TPR to Dalia pursuant to the Stipulation of Settlement and 2004 Transaction Documents;

- (ii) rescinding the 2004 Transaction Documents;
- (iii) Defendants Sagi, TPR, Dalia, the Sagi Trust, Fang and the Trump Parties must account to Arie and Orly, individually and as the beneficiary of the Orly Trust, for 3000 TRI Shares and/or their respective value that are now or ever have been in their respective possession, custody or control; and
 - (iv) such other equitable relief as the Court may deem fair and reasonable;
- (e) on the Fifth Cause of Action against Defendants Sagi, TPR, Dalia, the Sagi Trust, Fang and the Trump Parties, permanently enjoining and restraining these Defendants, and each of them, from directly or indirectly transferring, selling, acquiring, pledging, assigning, diluting, voting, valuing, replacing, conveying, using, removing from the jurisdiction, or otherwise disposing of, or encumbering the 3000 shares of TRI common stock that reverted to TPR as a result of the Delaware court rulings.

(f) on the Sixth Cause of Action against Defendant TPR:

- (i) awarding compensatory damages to the Plaintiff Arie in an amount to be determined, plus Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action; and
- (ii) awarding compensatory damages to the Plaintiff Orly individually and as the beneficiary of the Orly Trust in an amount to be determined, plus Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.
- (g) on the Seventh Cause of Action against Defendant Sagi Trust, a money judgment awarding compensatory damages to the Plaintiff Arie in an amount to be determined, plus Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action;

- (h) on the Eighth Cause of Action Against the Defendant Trump Parties, jointly and severally:
- (i) awarding compensatory damages to the Plaintiff Arie in an amount to be determined, plus an additional award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined, plus Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action;
- (ii) awarding compensatory damages to the Plaintiff Orly individually and as the beneficiary of the Orly Trust in an amount to be determined, plus an additional award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined, plus Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action;
 - (i) on the Ninth Cause of Action Against Sagi, Fang, and the Sagi Trust:
- (i) awarding compensatory damages to the Plaintiff Arie in an amount to be determined, plus an additional award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined, plus Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action;
- (ii) awarding compensatory damages to the Plaintiff Orly, individually and as the beneficiary of the Orly Trust, in an amount to be determined, plus an additional award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined, plus Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action;
- (j) on the Tenth Cause of Action for a Preliminary Injunction Against the Trump Parties, Sagi Genger, TPR and the Sagi Trust Under CPLR 7109, and each of them for an injunction or temporary restraining order under CPLR 7109, restraining and enjoining each of them from transferring, selling, diluting, pledging, assigning or otherwise disposing of or

removing the Arie TRI Shares, the Orly Trust TRI shares, and the Sagi Trust TRI shares from the State until the further Order of the Court.

(k) On all causes of action set forth in this Complaint, such other relief as this court may deem just, together with the costs and disbursements incurred by plaintiffs in this action, including the recovery of plaintiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees.

DATED: New York, New York September 20, 2011 MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP

Re

Paul D. Montclare (PM 4454) Lauren J. Wachtler (LW 4205) 12 E. 49th Street, 30th Floor New York, New York 10017 (212) 509-3900

Attorneys for Plaintiff ARIE GENGER

ZEICHNER ELLMAN & KRAUSE LLP

<u>ئىرى</u>

Yo'av M. Griver Bryan D. Leinbach

575 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022 Telephone: (212) 223-0400 Facsimile: (212) 753-0396

Attorneys for Plaintiff ORLY GENGER, in her individual capacity and on behalf of the

Learn Wheleker with permission

ORLY GENGER 1993 Trust

Exhibit 10

At the Surrogate's Court held in and for the County of New York, at the Courthouse, 31 Chambers Street, New York, New York on the 1 day of has 2009

New fort Courts Surrogate's County for and 1 DATA ENTRY DEPT.

PRESENT: HON. Toy K. Webber
Surrogate

In the Matter of the Application of

ORLY GENGER, as a person interested, for the removal of DALIA GENGER as Trustee of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust pursuant to SCPA §711 (11)

File No.: 0017/2008

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WITH TEMPORARY RESTRAINTS

On reading and filing the annexed Verified Petition of Petitioner, ORLY GENGER, and the exhibits, verified on the 22nd day of June, 2009, and the memorandum of law in support of Petitioner's Verified Petition dated June 22, 2009.

LET the Respondent, DALIA GENGER, the sole fiduciary of the Only Genger 1993

Street, show cause before Surrogate

Trust, show cause

Trust, show cause

(a) Enjoining and restraining Respondent, her agents and all other persons acting on her behalf from withdrawing, selling disposing, transferring, assigning, removing, pledging, redeeming, mortgaging, encumbering, liening, hypothecating or secreting the Orly Trust's 19.43% interest in Trans-Resources, inc., ("TRP"), a closely held corporation, founded by Arie Genger, Petitioner's father and Respondent's former husband of Respondent and any other assets which may be remaining in the Orly Trust;

- Removing Respondent as Trustee of the Orly Trust for breaching her (b) fiduciary duties, wasting and dissipating the assets of the Orly Trust and imprudently managing and injuring the property committed to her charge;
- Surcharging Respondent in the amount of the loss of the value of Orly's (c) interest in TPR as determined by the Court and awarding Petitioner costs and attorneys' fees;
 - (d)Appointing Michael D. Grohman, Esq. as successor trustee;
 - Waiving any requirement that Petitioner post an undertaking; and (e)

(f) Granting Petitioner such further relief deemed necessary or proper. ORDERED that, during the pendency of this proceeding, Respondent her agents and all, are required to give notice by openight moul to petitioners council of any 1) offer other persons acting on her behalf to tempologish responsed from withdrawing, selling, to purchase the Orly Trusts 19. 3 forwards in TRI within 10 days of receiving disposing monotoning assigning removing pladging redoming monogoning examinating business such offer and 2) act by Respondent, her agents and all other pursus licning hypothecating or secreting the Orly Trust's 19.43% integrant in TRI and other areas acting on her behalf to addign, most-gage, pledge, redeen, encumber, sillor remaining in the Orly Toust; and it is further otherwise after the Orly Toust's interest in TEI at least 10 days prin to such act and it of the Orly Toust's interest in TEI at least 10 days prin to such act and it of the Order and the papers upon which it is based

shall be surved on Respondent by personal service at either her residence, located at 200 East

65th Street, Apr. 32W, New York, New York 10021, or any other address at which she can be

located, on or before have I 2009; and it is further
OLDELED, that any responsive papers shall be filed by

-PAGEL-

Tuly 29, 2009.

Exhibit 11

Exhibit 11

In re IBJ Schroder Bank & Trust Co., Index No. 101530/98, Supreme Ct., N.Y. Co. (Aug. 16, 2000)

	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF EW YORK - NEW YORK COUNTY			
	PRESENT: Hon. Beatou Shanout	Justice	PART 10	
	In Re IBI Scroon Dank+	INDEX NO.	101530/98	
ı	- y -	MOTION DATE MOTION SEQ. NO.	001	
	• •	MOTION CAL. NO.	and an approximation of the state of the sta	
	The following papers, numbered 1 to were read on this motion to/for			
	Notice of Motion/ Order to Show Cause - Affidavits - Exhibits	Section 1	annin agadi i titti (ilii ka	
	Answering Affidavits - Exhibits			
	Replying Affidavits	Section of the sectio		
	Cross-Motion: Yes You	•		
Upon the foregoing papers, it is ordered that this motion On remand, pursuant to the order On remand, pursuant to the order On remand, pursuant to the order deposition of the order deposition				
-	Accompanying memorandum degision			
1,12	·	OCT 0 3 200		
MOTION/CASE IS RESPEC JUSTICE		COUNTY CLERG NEW YO	54: 335 38	
MOTION/ JUSTICE DATED:	Dated: 8 6 0	<u> </u>		
American Manager	Check one: FINAL DISPOSITION ON	ION-FINAL	J.S.C. DISPOSITION	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY : IAS PART 10

In the Matter of the Application of

Index No. 101530/98

IBJ SCHRODER BANK & TRUST COMPANY (not in its individual capacity but in its capacity as Trustee under a Trust Agreement dated as of December 21, 1985 among Resources Satellite Corp., J. Henry Schroder, Bank & Trust Company and the Beneficiaries thereunder), Petitioner,

for an order, pursuant to CPLR § 7701, for a Construction of an Indenture and Approval of a Settlement.

SHAINSWIT, J.:

In this special proceeding, brought pursuant to CPLR Article 77, petitioner-trustee seeks a declaratory judgment concerning the construction of an Investor Trust Agreement, together with approval of the trustee's proposed settlement of another action presently pending in this Court, involving assets of the Trust, entitled IBJ Schroder Bank & Trust Co. v GE Capital Spacenet Services, Inc., Index No. 601299/96 (the "Spacenet" action).

The Trust was established in 1985 to facilitate investments by more than 400 beneficiaries in a project involving the launching and operation of a communications satellite during the years 1985 through 1994. The Trust involved a complex series of financial transactions involving the development and placement in space of the communications satellite.

The Spacenet action involves a certain master lease relating to the lease of 24 satellite transponders carried on a satellite which was launched into orbit in 1985.

The satellite earned money for the Trust through receipt of sums from television and radio broadcasters for the use of electronic signals transmitted for television and radio broadcasting by the satellite's "transponders." A transponder automatically transmits a broadcasting signal upon reception of such a signal from another transmitter.

-

Because adequate supply of fuel was crucial to the operation of the satellite, the trustee and the satellite owner executed the Agreement Regarding Fuel ("Fuel Agreement"), whereby the satellite owner agreed to make certain stipulated fuel shortfall payments, entitled "Stipulated Loss Value" payments, in the event of a fuel shortage. It is alleged that such a fuel shortage occurred, thereby triggering the trustee's rights to demand payment from the satellite owner under the terms of the Fuel Agreement. Accordingly, in the Spacenet action, the trustee seeks to recover from the satellite owner the sum of \$40,785,455, representing a "Stipulated Loss Value" payment set forth for in the Fuel Agreement.

The satellite owner served its answer in the Spacenet action, denying all liability and pleading defenses and counterclaims, including, among other things, that:

(a) the provision in the Fuel Agreement as to Stipulated Loss Value was an unenforceable penalty under New York law; (b) the satellite's failure resulted from a catastrophic event or mechanical failure and not from a lack of fuel; and (c) the satellite in fact had sufficient fuel on the applicable date.

In September 1997, the trustee and the defendants in the Spacenet litigation conditionally agreed to a proposed settlement which provides for the satellite owner to pay \$8.5 million, of which \$6.97 million would be paid to the Trust.

The trustee thereupon commenced this action by "Verified Petition For Construction of Trust and Approval of Proposed Settlement," seeking, among other things: (a) a declaration that it had the authority to commence the Spacenet action; (b) a declaration that it had the authority to settle the Spacenet action; and (c) judicial approval of the proposed settlement of the Spacenet action. 186 trust beneficiaries, jointly represented by one law firm, have submitted opposition to the trustee's application for a declaratory judgment and approval of the proposed settlement.

The trustee predicates his commencement of the Spacenet action, vis-avis the beneficiaries of the Trust, upon section 5.02 of the Investor Trust Agreement.

That section provides that, in the event of an event of a default under the master lease:

the Trustee shall give prompt written notice of such event of default to the Lessee, the Grantor and the Beneficiaries by certified mail, postage prepaid. In the event that such event of default has not been cured within 30 days after mailing of such notice, the Trustee shall take such action or shall refrain from taking such action, not inconsistent with the provisions of the Agreements, with respect to such event of default as the Trustee shall be directed in writing by all of the Beneficiaries, or, if no such direction has been received from all of the Beneficiaries within 30 days after the mailing of such notice to the Beneficiaries, the Trustee shall, in its sole discretion ... take such action as shall be necessary to terminate the Master Lease, to obtain the benefits of the Master Collateral Assignment Agreement and to cause the Lessee thereunder to perform all of its obligations upon such termination.

(emphasis supplied).

Prior to commencing the Spacenet action, the trustee sent the requisite notice under Section 5.02 of the Investor Trust Agreement to the proper parties, including the beneficiaries, and did not, in return, receive any "directions" from the beneficiaries.

By decision and judgment dated October 21, 1998, this Court held that the Trust Agreement did not confer upon the trustee authority to settle the action in question. Having decided that such authority to settle the Spacenet action was lacking, the Court never reached the trustee's further request for judicial approval of the proposed settlement. The trustee appealed from the October 21, 1998 decision and judgment.

The Appellate Division reversed (__AD2d __, 706 NYS2d 114 [First Dept 2000]). The Appellate Division held that the trustee was, in fact, vested with the authority to settle the Spacenet action, stating that:

It is settled that the duties and powers of a trustee are defined by the terms of the trust agreement and are tempered only by the fiduciary obligation of loyalty to the beneficiaries (see, United States Trust Co. of N. Y. v First Nat. City Bank, 57 AD2d 285, 295-296 affd 45 NY2d 869; Restatement [Second] of Trusts § 186, comments a, d). In this matter, the same provision of the trust agreement which, the parties do not dispute, gave the trustee the power to commence the underlying action, also vests the trustee with the power to "take such action as shall be necessary" with respect to the subject matter of the underlying action. We now find that this provision includes the power to settle that action. We take no position on whether the settlement agreement, in its present form, should be approved and remand the matter to the IAS court to consider all relevant factors in determining whether such approval is warranted.

(Id.).

Thus, this matter is now before this Court on remand to determine

^{&#}x27;On a motion seeking, inter alia, reargument and clarification of the October 21, 1998 decision and judgment, this Court held that the trustee had the authority, pursuant to section 5.02 of the Investor Trust Agreement, to "take such action" as might be necessary under the circumstances, including commencing the Spacenet action (Decision and Order dated April 12, 1999).

whether or not approval of the proposed settlement is warranted.

As set forth in the Petition, the trustee maintains that the proposed settlement of the Spacenet action is reasonable and prudent, and the best way to conserve and protect the Trust's assets. In support, the trustee argues that: (a) there is a serious risk that the Spacenet defendants may prevail on one or more of the defenses asserted by them in the Spacenet action, thereby precluding any recovery by the trustee in the Spacenet action; and (b) prosecution of the Spacenet action would be very costly and time consuming, because such cases are extremely expert-intensive and technically complex.

The opposition offered by the 186 trust beneficiaries goes primarily to their belief that the settlement amount is too low. They claim that the proposed settlement is unreasonable and contrary to their best interests, arguing that: (a) the plain terms of the Fuel Agreement require payment of the "Stipulated Loss Value" of approximately \$40 million (now over \$60 million with interest); (b) the proposed settlement would substantially compromise that amount to \$8.5 million; and (c) the trustee has not in any way tested any of the defenses raised in the Spacenet litigation, but rather agreed to that substantial compromise despite having failed to take any discovery or to file any dispositive motions in the Spacenet litigation.

Since the objecting beneficiaries have not submitted any evidence to show that the trustee's actions may have been based on some ulterior motive or that the trustee is somehow itself interested in the transaction other than in its fiduciary capacity, the trustee submits that the dispute comes down to whose view as to the

wisdom of the proposed settlement should prevail - - that of the trustee or that of the objecting beneficiaries.

Here, the trustee is the entity to whom the Investor Trust Agreement gives sole power to "take such action as shall be necessary" with respect to the subject matter of the underlying action. While there is some question as to whether the applicable standard of review here is the business judgment rule or the prudent man standard, the conclusion is the same under either standard – the trustee's decision to compromise the Spacenet action is within the scope of the trustee's powers, is reasonable and prudent, and is entitled to judicial deference. Thus, in view of the trustee's showing of the reasonableness of the proposed settlement herein, and in the absence of any evidence tending to show a breach by the trustee of its fiduciary duties, the trustee's view must prevail. The Court will not invalidate the proposed settlement merely because certain beneficiaries believe a greater recovery might be obtained if the Spacenet action is submitted to an expensive and unpredictable litigation.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, on remand, the Court holds that approval of the proposed settlement of the Spacenet action is warranted, and grants the trustee's motion to that extent. Settle order/judgment:

Dated: August (, 2000

ENTER:

J.S.C.

Exhibit 12

David Parnes

Memo

To:

Robert Meister

From:

David Parnes

CC:

Robert Brighton

Date:

5/15/2012

Ro:

Original Note of \$4mm in Favor of TPR

Attached is the original note of \$4,000,000 made by the Orly Genger 1993 Trust in favor of TPR Investment Associates, Inc. The note is being purchased by the Manhattan Safety Company ("Manhattan"). We understand, that you are swapping this note for a different instrument in favor of Manhattan. At their request, we are delivering you this instrument to be held until they receive physical possession of the original new instrument. At that time, you may return this original to the Orly Genger 1993 Trust.

PROMISSORY NOTE

\$4,000,000

October 3, 2011

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, the undersigned, the Orly Genger 1993 Trust ("Borrower"), by way of its current sole trustee, Dalis Genger, promises to pay to TPR Investment Associates, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Lender") the sum of \$4,000,000 ("Principal") together with interest thereon at the rate of three percent (3%) percent per aroum, payable as follows, and further covenants, to Lender, as follows:

The Principal together with all interest accrued thereon shall be due and payable to, or to the order of, Lender on the earliest of:

- (i) November 1, 2012;
- (ii) Immediately upon Borrower's receipt of the proceeds from the sale of TRI shares either pursuant to the interpleader action pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court") in Pedowitz v. TPR, 11 Civ. 5602, or otherwise.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein or in the parties' accompanying Settlement Agreement, to the extent that the Court awards Lender any of the interpleaded funds. Lender shall first retain such funds to the extent necessary to pay down this Note in full, and then transfer any remaining funds to Borrower.

The occurrence and continuation of any one or more of the following events shall constitute an event of default under this New Note ("Event of Default"):

- (a) Payment Default. Borrower shall fail to make any required payment due in connection with this New Note.
- (b) Third Party Lien or Caveat. The creation of a lien on Borrower's property, or the entry of a caveat (which Lender deems material), that has not been removed ten (10) days of its creation.
- (c) <u>Change of Trustee</u>. The resignation, removal, or otherwise change of trustre of Borrower.
- (d) <u>Bankruptcy Default</u>. The Borrower shall (i) commence any case, proceeding or other action under any existing or future law of any jurisdiction relating to seeking to have an order for relief entered with respect to it or its debts, or seeking reorganization, arrangement, adjustment, winding-up, liquidation, dissolution, composition or other such relief with respect to it or its debts, or seeking appointment of a receiver, trustee, custodian or other similar official for it or for all or substantially all of its assets (each of

the foregoing, a "Bankruptcy Action"; (ii) become the debtor named in any Bankruptcy Action which results in the entry of an order for relief or any such adjudication or appaintment described in the immediately preceding clause (i), or remains undismissed, undischarged or unbonded for a period of sixty (60) days; or (iii) make a general assignment for the benefit of its creditors.

In each and every Event of Default, the Lender may, without limiting any other rights it may have at law or in equity, by written sotice to the Borrower, declare the unpaid Principal of and Interest on this New Note due and payable, whereupon the same shall be humedisately due and payable, without presentment, demand, protest or other notice of any kind, all of which the Borrower hereby expressly waives, and the Lender may proceed to enforce payment of such Principal and Interest or any part thereof in such manner as it may elect in its discretion.

This Note may be prepaid in whole or in part at any time without premium or penalty.

All prepayments shall be applied first to interest, then to principal payments in the order of their meturity.

The undersigned agrees to pay all come and expenses, including all reasonable atterneys' fees, for the collection of this Note upon default. All payments shall be made at 1211 Park Avenue, New York, NY, 10128, or at such other place as the holder hereof may from time to time designate in writing.

Upon default, whether pursuant to an Event of Default or otherwise, the interest rate shall be increased to an amount ("Overdue Rate") equal to the maximum legal rate of interest permitted by applicable law.

If this New Note is not paid when due, Horrower promises to pay all costs and expenses of collection and attorneys' fees incurred by the holder hereof on account of such collection, whether or not suit is filed thereon. Borrower consents to renewals, replacements and extensions of time for payment hazeof, before, at, or after maturity; consents to the acceptance, release or substitution of security for this note, and waives protest, presentment, demand for payment, notice of default or nonpayment and notice of dishonor and the right to assert any statute of limitations. The indebtedness evidenced hereby shall be payable in lawful improy of the United States.

This New Note shall be governed by, and shall be construed and enforced in accordance with, the lawn of the state of Delaware without giving effect to the conflict of law rules thereof, and shall be adjudicated before the appropriate Courts of the State of Delaware.

THE ORLY GENOER \$993 TRUST

Exhibit 13

Robert Meister

From:

Allingham II, Thomas J < Thomas. Allingham@skadden.com>

Sent:

Monday, April 23, 2012 5:19 PM

To:

'Yoav M. Griver'

Cc:

ljw@msk.com; pdm@msk.com; Dellajo@duanemorris.com; emichailidis@duanemorris.com; robert.meister@pedowitzmeister.com; Bryan D. Leinbach; asash@mclauqhlinstern.com;

dcavanaugh@lyons-mcgovern.com; esilverman@wmllp.com; William Wachtel; robert.meister@pedowitzmeister.com; Frank, William P; Clark, Anthony W

Subject:

TRI Dividends

Dear Yoav:

In response to your letter of April 17, 2012, without acknowledging any obligation to respond to this or any other requests regarding TRI business, please note the following (numbered items correspond to your numbered questions):

- 1. An aggregate amount of \$27,000,000 of dividends were paid during calendar 2011.
- 2. Yes, 32.5% of those dividends were escrowed with Skadden (\$8,707.500) and, as of today, that amount plus interest accrued remains escrowed with Skadden.
- 3. 3. TRI's Board of Directors has authorized dividends during 2012 aggregating \$25,618,968 and as with the above, 32.25% of such dividends is being escrowed with Skadden under the escrow arrangement previously discussed among all the parties.

Tom

From: Yoav M. Griver [mailto:YGriver@zeklaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2012 4:55 PM **To:** Allingham II, Thomas J (WIL)

Cc: ljw@msk.com; pdm@msk.com; Dellajo@duanemorris.com; emichailidis@duanemorris.com;

robert.meister@pedowitzmeister.com; Bryan D. Leinbach; asash@mclauqhlinstern.com; dcavanauqh@lyons-

mcgovern.com; esilverman@wmllp.com; William Wachtel; robert.meister@pedowitzmeister.com; Frank, William P (NYC);

Clark, Anthony W (WIL) **Subject:** Genger - Letter

Letter to your attention. Thank you.

Yoav M. Griver, Esq. Partner

Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP

ZEK

575 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022 Tel. (212) 826-5338 Fax (212) 753-0396 yariver@zeklaw.com

ĺ

www.zeklew.com

NEW YORK | NEW JERSEY | CONNECTICUT

This transmission may contain sensitive and/or privileged information. The sender does not waive any privilege or confidentiality in the event of an inadvertent transmission to an unauthorized recipient. In the event of such a transmission, kindly contact the sender to arrange retrieval. IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

水准查证如果水水水农农业安存水安全水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水水

To ensure compliance with Treasury Department regulations, we advise you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this message was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or applicable state or local tax law provisions or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

This email (and any attachments thereto) is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this email (and any attachments thereto) is strictly prohibited. If you receive this email in error please immediately notify me at (212) 735-3000 and permanently delete the original email (and any copy of any email) and any printout thereof.

Further information about the firm, a list of the Partners and their professional qualifications will be provided upon request.

STATE OF NEW YORK	
SURROGATE'S COURT: COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
In the Matter of the Application of	
ORLY GENGER, as a person interested, for the	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
removal of DALIA GENGER as Trustee of the	
Orly Genger 1993 Trust pursuant to SCPA §711(11)	File No. 0017/2008
X	

: \$5.:

JORDANA PALGON, being duly sworn, deposes and says: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and have an office at 570 Lexington Avenue, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10022. On the 19th day of November, 2012, I served the annexed NOTICE OF MOTION TO DISMISS THIRD AMENDED PETITION by sending same via pre-paid Priority U.S. mail to counsel for Petitioner at the following address:

Ralph Hochberg, Esq.
Platzer, Swergold, Karlin, Levine,
Goldberg & Jaslow, LLP
1065 Avenue of the Americas
18th Floor
New York, NY 10018

STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Jordana Palgon

Sworn to before me this 19th day of November, 2012.

Notary Public

ROBERT A. MEISTÈR Notary Public, State of New York No. 31-02ME2653350 Gualified in New York County Commission Expires March 30, 2011